Localization of 18S ribosomal genes in suckermouth armoured catfishes Loricariidae (Teleostei, Siluriformes) with discussion on the Ag-NOR evolution
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Abstract

The family Loricariidae with about 690 species divided into six subfamilies, is one of the world’s largest fish families. Cytogenetic studies conducted in the family showed that among 90 species analyzed the diploid number ranges from 2n=38 in Ancistrus sp. to 2n=96 in Hemisilichthys gobio Luetken, 1874. In the present study, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was employed to determine the chromosomal localization of the 18S rDNA gene in four suckermouth armoured catfishes: Kronichthys lacerta (Nichols, 1919), Pareiorhaphis splendens (Bizerril, 1995), Liposarcus multiradiatus (Hancock, 1828) and Hypostomus prope plecostomus (Linnaeus, 1758). All species analyzed showed one chromosome pair with 18S rDNA sequences, as observed in the previous Ag-NORs analyses. The presence of size and numerical polymorphism was observed and discussed, with proposing a hypothesis of the Ag-NOR evolution in Loricariidae.
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Introduction

Fishes of the family Loricariidae are found in almost all South and Central America, from Costa Rica to Argentina and represent one of the world’s largest fish families, with about 690 species described and about 300 undescribed (Reis et al. 2003). Recently this family has been divided into five subfamilies: Neoplecostominae, Hypoptopomatinae, Loricariinae, Hypostominae and a basal subfamily Delturinae (Armbruster 2004). About 100 Loricariidae species have been karyotyped so far (Oliveira and Gosztonyi 2000, Alves et al. 2006). The diploid chromosome number ranges from 2n=36 in *Rineloricaria latirostris* Boulenger, 1899 (Giuliano-Caetano 1998) to 2n=96 in *Hemipsilichthys gobio* Luetken, 1874 (Kavalco et al. 2004).

Ribosomal RNA genes are organized in fishes and in other groups as multiple copies of a repeated unit that consists of a transcribed zone with coding regions for the 18S, 5.8S and 28S rRNA genes, separated by internal and external transcribed spacers and surrounded by non-transcribed spacer sequences. The 18S rDNA gene probes by fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) have provided coincident markers with silver nitrate impregnation (AG-NOR) in nucleolar organizer region (Ag-NOR) in fish chromosomes (Paintnner et al. 2002, Porto-Foresti et al. 2002, Fontana et al. 2003, Kavalco et al. 2005).

According to Foresti et al. (1981) the Ag-NORs with large size polymorphism and/or numeric polymorphism are frequent in Neotropical freshwater fishes. Thus, the detection of genes related with Ag-NORs is very important for the identification and characterization of these kinds of polymorphism (Wasko and Galetti Jr. 2000). Ag-NORs size polymorphism is common in Loricariidae fishes, mainly in species with single Ag-NORs as in Hypoptopomatinae and Neoplecostominae, although, it can occur in species with multiple Ag-NORs as Hypostominae (Artoni and Bertollo 1996, Alves et al. 2003).

In the present study the localization of 18S rDNA genes was identified in four species for the first time. The results were compared to already published data on Ag-NOR, with the main objective of better understanding the changes involving ribosomal genes involved with Ag-NORs in Loricariidae fishes.

Material and methods

Cytogenetic analyses were performed on chromosome preparations obtained from four species collected in rivers from Brazil and Venezuela: *Kronichthys lacerta* (Nichols 1919), *Pareiorhaphis splendens* (Bizerril 1995), *Liposarcus multiradiatus* (Hancock 1828) and *Hypostomus prope plecostomus* (Linnaeus 1758) (Table 1). The specimens were analyzed by taxonomists that provided the species identification. The fishes were deposited in the fish collection of Laboratório de Biologia e Genética de Peixes (LBP), UNESP, Botucatu, SP, Brazil and in the Laboratório de Ictiologia, Museu de Ciências e Tecnologia, PUCRS (MCP), Porto Alegre, Brazil.
Chromosome preparations were obtained from kidney tissues using the technique described by Foresti et al. (1993) and were submitted to fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH). Four probes employed in the Southern hybridizations techniques were used for FISH and they were labeled as follow: the double-strand probes (probes 1 and 4) were labeled by nick translation with biotin-14-dATP (Bionick labelling system-Gibco.BRL); the single-strand synthetic probes (probes 2 and 3) were labeled by random primer with biotin-14-dCTP (BioPrime DNA labeling system-Gibco.BRL). The metaphase chromosomes slides were incubated with RNase (40 µg/ml) for 1.5 hour at 37°C. After the denaturation of chromosomal DNA in 70% formamide/2xSSC for 5 min at 70°C, 40µl of hybridization mixture (100ng of denatured probe, 50% formamide, 10mg/ml dextran sulfate, 2xSSC) was dropped on the slides and the hybridization was performed overnight at 37°C. Hybridization washes included 50% formamide in 2xSSC at 42°C and 2xSSC and 4xSSC at room temperature. Detection of hybridized probes was carried out with Avidin-FITC conjugate (Sigma) followed by two rounds of signal-amplification. After each step of amplification the slides were washed in a blocking buffer (1.26% NaHCO3, 0.018% sodium citrate, 0,0386% Triton/1% non-fat dried milk). Chromosomes were counterstained with Propidium Iodide, and the slides were mounted with Antifade (Vector).

Results and discussion

The karyotypes of the four species analyzed have been previously described in Alves (2005), Alves et al. (2005) and Alves et al. (2006), the diploid number and karyotype formulae with morphological classification in metacentric (m), submetacentric (sm) and subteloacentric (st) are presented in the Table 1.

The results showed that *Kronichthys lacerta* had only one signal of 18S rDNA in interstitial position in the long arm of the chromosome pair 21 (st) (Figure 1a), coinciding with a single Ag-NORs pattern presented by Alves et al. (2005). Beside the numerical polymorphism in this specie, the large size polymorphism of the 18S rDNA loci suggests a duplication of this gene in the active Ag-NOR chromosome.

*Pareiohaphis splendens* had two signal of 18S rDNA in interstitial position in the long arm of the chromosome pair 3 (m) (Figure 1b), coinciding with single Ag-NOR pattern presented by Alves et al. (2005). This species presented an evident variation in Ag-NORs size among homologous chromosomes that can be confirmed by the probe 18S rDNA. This structural polymorphism is common in the Loricariidae fishes (Artoni and Bertollo 1996, Alves et al. 2003, Alves et al. 2005).

In *Liposarcus multiradiatus* two signal of 18S rDNA in subterminal position in the long arm of the chromosome pair 10 (m) were detected (Figure 1c), coinciding with single Ag-NORs pattern presented by Alves et al. (2006). In this species a small size polymorphism of 18S rDNA occurs, although, the possible duplication or rearrangement events are not evident.
Weak signals of 18S rDNA were presented in the *Hypostomus prope plecostomus*: two signals were observed in the short arm of the chromosome pair 16 (st) (Figure 1d). These signals are coincident with single Ag-NORs presented in this specie by Alves (2005). Different of the others species analyzed here, *Hypostomus prope plecostomus* does not presented size polymorphism of 18S rDNA, suggesting a conserved status of this character in this species.

There are few studies related to the identification of Ag-NOR regions through the technique of hybridization with 18S rDNA probes in Loricariidae fishes, the most data available are on *Hypostomus*. The hybridization techniques with the fluorochromes DAPI and CMA3, were used to identified Ag-NOR regions of *Hypostomus nigromaculatus* (Schubart, 1964) (Rubert et al. 2008) and *H. prope wuchereri* (Günther, 1864) (Bitencourt et al. 2011). Artoni and Bertollo (1999) already used Mithramycin A (DAPI/MM) technique for observation of this region in *Hypostomus* sp., *Hypostomus* sp. B and *Hypostomus* sp. F. In a recent paper Mendes-Neto et al. (2011) identified the Ag-NOR regions in *H. regani* (Ihering, 1905) through the technique of hybridization with 18S rDNA probes, in all these works the species analysed showed single Ag-NOR in there chromosomes.

In conclusion, for Oliveira and Gosztonyi (2000) the condition of single Ag-NORs in subterminal position is the possible basal condition for the Siluriformes spe-
cies, and variations of this character were considered derived. In the present study all species analyzed presented single Ag-NORs, suggesting the maintenance of this basal condition. The size polymorphisms observed in most species analyzed, suggests that these polymorphisms occurred independently of the species systematic position.
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