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A B S T R A C T

Several initiatives, including research and development, increasing stakeholders' awareness and application of legislation and recommendation, have been carried out in Latin America to promote animal welfare and meat quality. Most activities focused on the impact of pre-slaughter conditions (facilities, equipment and handling procedures) on animal welfare and meat quality. The results are encouraging; data from Brazil, Chile and Uruguay showed that the application of the improved pre-slaughter handling practices reduced aggressive handling and the incidence of bruised carcasses at slaughter in cattle and pigs. These outcomes stimulated some to apply animal welfare concepts in livestock handling within the meat production chain as shown by the increasing demand for personnel training on the best. To attend this demand is important to expand local studies on farm animal welfare and to set up (or maintain) an efficient system for knowledge transfer to all stakeholders in the Latin America meat production chains. However, it is clear that to promote the long-term progress in this field is important to deliver practical solutions, assuring that they match the technical and financial conditions of those who are the target of training programs.
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1. Introduction

Many factors define meat quality, especially those that determine its intrinsic characteristics (e.g., colour, flavour, tenderness and nutritional value), safety, appearance and convenience (Koohmaraie & Geesink, 2006). Meat quality can also be defined based on ethical criteria, particularly those related to environmental and animal welfare concerns (Paranhos da Costa, 2004).

Despite an emphasis on the negative effects of stress during pre-slaughter management, which result in quantitative and qualitative meat loss (Gallo, 2009; Warriss, 2000), the mechanisms involved in these losses remain unknown (Ferguson & Warner, 2008), with the exception of situations that cause physical damage to the carcasses or that result in muscle glycogen depletion (Gregory, 2007; Lacourt, 1985; Warriss, 1990). Furthermore, situations arise while conducting pre-slaughter management procedures that result in negative consequences for the welfare of the animals and a high risk of death.

Several studies have been conducted in Latin America to evaluate the impact of stress during pre-slaughter management on the quality of beef and pork, with a focus on handling procedures (Faucitano,
Marquardt, Oliveira, Coelho, & Terra, 1998), duration of transportation (Gallo, Lizondo, & Knowles, 2003; Mota-Rojas et al., 2006), waiting time in slaughterhouse pens (del Campo, Brito, Soares de Lima, Hernández, & Montossi, 2010), the models of vehicles used for transportation (Dalla Costa et al., 2007; Dalla Costa et al., 2007) and genetic predisposition to stress (Bridi, Rübensam, Nicolaiewsky, Lopes, & Lobato, 2003). However, we found no studies regarding the negative economic impact resulting from the unethical treatment of animals and its effects on defining the ethical quality of the meat.

Commercial systems that include indirect trade through cattle markets or auctions, prolonged transportation and long waiting times under fasting conditions clearly result in even greater prevalence of carcass bruising, colour problems and unsuitable pH of the meat. Moreover, the scarce attention given to the suffering of animals during management and stunning in many Latin American countries indicates a high risk of problems with animal welfare and meat quality (Gallo, 2007; Gallo & Tadich, 2008).

Despite the lack of information, there is growing interest from meat production chains in incorporating certain compromises with sustainable production in their quality control programs and promoting human and animal well being in the pursuit of corporate sustainability.

This article aims to present the initiatives developed in Latin America to promote animal welfare during pre-slaughter management and their effects on carcass and meat quality, with an emphasis on the pre-slaughter management of cattle and swine in Brazil, Chile and Uruguay.

2. Farm animal welfare in Latin America

Agriculture comprises a significant proportion of the Latin American economy, with strong performance from the poultry, beef and pork production sectors. For instance, growth rates of agriculture in Brazil have been above average, even during periods of low economic growth (Spolador & Fontana, 2006). Meat production plays an important role, with an annual production of over 13 million tons of poultry meat and the slaughter of 42.4 million head of cattle and 42.0 million pigs. This production primarily serves the domestic market, which absorbs between 71 and 83% of the meat produced; the surplus is destined for export (Anualpec, 2011). Although the percentage of exports is relatively low, Brazil has been a world leader in beef (ABIEC, 2009) and poultry (UBABEF, 2010) exportation and still holds a leadership position within the pork export market (ABIPES, 2009).

More than 500 thousand tons of poultry meat is produced annually in Chile, and more than 800 thousand cattle and 5 million pigs are slaughtered per year. Approximately 15.0% of the poultry meat, 9.6% of the beef and 18.8% of the pork produced are exported from this country (INE, 2011).

There is a relevant production and export of beef in Uruguay, which represented 92% of all meat exports from the country in 2008 (Bonifacino, 2008). Between 2000 and 2006, the country had an average herd of more than 11 million cattle and slaughtered more than two million cattle per year (INAC, 2007). More than 80% of the meat produced was exported. The pork and poultry industries are very important in Chile, and more than 800 thousand cattle and 5 million pigs are slaughtered per year. Approximately 15.0% of the poultry meat, 9.6% of the beef and 18.8% of the pork produced are exported from this country (INE, 2011).

More than 500 thousand tons of poultry meat is produced annually in Chile, and more than 800 thousand cattle and 5 million pigs are slaughtered per year. Approximately 15.0% of the poultry meat, 9.6% of the beef and 18.8% of the pork produced are exported from this country (INE, 2011).

There is a relevant production and export of beef in Uruguay, which represented 92% of all meat exports from the country in 2008 (Bonifacino, 2008). Between 2000 and 2006, the country had an average herd of more than 11 million cattle and slaughtered more than two million cattle per year (INAC, 2007). More than 80% of the meat produced was exported. The pork and poultry industries are very small in Uruguay, in 2009 the country produced only 7.8 thousand tons of poultry meat and 17.3 thousand tons of pork (DIEA, 2010).

The increasing awareness of Latin America livestock stakeholders regarding animal welfare has been mainly achieved by showing the evidence of an association between poor welfare and quantitative and qualitative meat losses. These losses are specifically related to animal deaths, increased bruising of carcasses and changes in the pH of meat, resulting from the application of poor pre-slaughter management practices.

In parallel, the interest in meeting the requirements of more sensitive markets and the pressure imposed by the need to pass animal welfare audits has also motivated meat production chains to improve their facilities, equipment and management.

Apparently, this ethical approach is still having little practical impact on the human attitude towards animals within the meat production chains.

The poor response of the livestock industry to the animal welfare recommendations can be partly explained by the relatively recent (only 10 years) implementation of these initiatives which were joined with changes in the legislation and the development and application of animal handling training programs.

3. Characterisation of pre-slaughter management in Brazil, Chile and Uruguay

A number of surveys run in Uruguay and Brazil have shown the inadequacy of handling practices at various stages of the pre-slaughter handling resulting in the occurrence of severe carcass bruising (Huertas, Gil, Paggio, & van Eerdenburg, 2010; Paranhos da Costa, Zuin, & Piovesan, 1998).

One of these surveys was conducted in Brazil in 1997 by Paranhos da Costa et al. (1998) to assess pre-slaughter management in the beef quality control program of the Fund for the Development of Livestock in the State of São Paulo (Fundo para o Desenvolvimento da Pecuária no Estado de São Paulo — Fundepec) by tracking the entire pre-slaughter management of 216 animals from loading at the farm to stunning at the slaughterhouse. Cattle showed signs of stress during the good transportation conditions (new trucks and good roads) and short journeys (no more than 300 km), and approximately 50% of the carcasses had at least one bruise. Based on this survey, the authors identified the following five main problems that increase the risk of carcass bruising during pre-slaughter management in order of significance: 1) direct aggression, 2) high social density, especially in farm corrals and loading docks, 3) inadequate facilities, 4) inadequate transportation (characterised by poor road conditions and poorly maintained vehicles) and 5) severe agitation of the cattle as the result of aggressive management and their high reactivity.

More recent surveys conducted in Brazil indicate even higher percentages of bruised carcasses, reaching 84.2% in a study conducted in the Pantanal region of Mato Grosso do Sul (Andrade et al., 2008) and 92.1% in the State of Minas Gerais (Andrade & Coelho, 2010). These results are certainly due to poor transportation and handling conditions.

The occurrence of carcass bruising can also be caused by poor conditions at the farm. In a study conducted in the State of Santa Catarina (southern Brazil) on pigs, Dalla Costa et al. (2009) reported that 53.7% of pigs already presented skin lesions at the farm, before loading. This proportion further increased through the marketing process from 80.7 (after loading) to 95.8% (in lairage at the slaughter plant). Some of these injuries were associated with management procedures, including the position of the animal inside the loading compartment and the duration of pre-slaughter fasting.

The incidence of PSE (pale, soft and exudative) pork is still high in Brazil, e.g. Maganinhi et al. (2007) working with 946 Dalland® pigs reported 22.8% of PSE pork and Culau, López, Rubensam, Lopes, and Nicolaiewsky (2002) working with commercial hybrids (resulting from crossing Landrace, Large White and Duroc) found PSE pork in 36.56, 58.53 and 85.71% of the pigs according to the halothane gene (HAL) presence (for HAL<sup>NN</sup>, HAL<sup>Nn</sup> and HAL<sup>nn</sup> pigs, respectively). The high occurrence of PSE pork in Brazil was attributed by the first authors to poor pre-slaughter handling conditions. However, the genetic component of the animals should also be considered, since the occurrence of HAL was still high in Brazilian pig herds, 38.41% according to Culau et al. (2002).

In the late 90s, Faucitano et al. (1998) ran a pioneer study in Brazil aiming at comparing the effects of two pre-slaughter handling procedures on pork quality. The handling procedures under study consisted of either: a) driving pigs to slaughter using electric prods, low-voltage (110 V) electrical stunning, delayed stick-stun time (15 s) and exsanguination after hanging on the bleeding rail (control); or b) no electric...
prodding, high-voltage (220 V) electric stunning and exsanguination within 15 s in the prone position (improved procedure). The improved handling procedure resulted in a lower carcass blemish score (LSM ± SE: 0.9 ± 0.8 and 1.8 ± 1.04, P ≤ 0.05), a lower number of PSE carcasses (pH LSM ± SE: Longissimus thoracis 5.6 ± 0.21 and 5.9 ± 0.28 and Semimembranosus muscles 5.7 ± 0.18 and 6.2 ± 0.22, P < 0.01 for both respectively) and lower concentration of creatine phosphokinase (LSM ± SE: 3.4 ± 0.16 and 3.5 ± 0.23 log U/l, P ≤ 0.01, respectively) than the control procedures.

A series of studies comparing two stunning methods (manual electric stunning vs. collective gaseous system) was carried out in Brazil in three pigs genetic strains (defined as A, B and C), assessing: blood stress indicators, objective measures of carcass and meat quality and subjective measures of carcass and meat quality. The results from these papers showed that the animals stunned with the gaseous stunning system presented results for the following indicators of animal welfare and carcass and meat quality: plasmatic levels of cortisol and lactate (Bertoloni, Silveira, Ludtke, & Andrade, 2006); b* value, pH 24 h in the semimembranosus muscle, light scattering (Hennesy-GP4) to 45 min and 24 h post mortem (Bertoloni, Silveira, Ludtke, & Costa, 2006); and blood-splash, skin damage and eyelid reflex levels (Bertoloni, Silveira, Costa, & Ludtke, 2006) than the electric stunning system. The authors reported variation among the genetic strains for some of indicators evaluated, suggesting the occurrence of genotype–environment interactions for those traits.

A study conducted in Uruguay (Huertas et al., 2010) found that 60% of the total number of beef carcasses assessed (N = 15,168) had at least one traumatic lesion; among the bruised carcasses 33% had one bruise, 25% two bruises, 16% three bruises and 26% had four or more bruises. Data collected in a beef and lamb quality audit in Uruguay (INIA (Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuaria), INAC (Instituto Nacional de Carnes), & Colorado State University, 2003) also indicated high occurrences of bruises in cattle (60%) and sheep (30%) carcasses. The authors reported that carcass bruising was associated with the following pre-slaughter factors: truck maintenance (with higher occurrence of bruises in not well maintained trucks), presence of side reeels on the doors of the loading compartment (that reduced bruised carcasses) and travel conditions (increasing the number of bruised carcasses when the transport was done in poor road conditions). The presence of bruises on the carcasses resulted in an average removal of 2 kg of meat per lesion per animal (Huertas et al., 2010), which represents a great economic loss for the beef production chain.

Surveys on the incidence of carcass bruising in cattle slaughtered in Chile, carried out by the official certification of meat quality in the slaughterhouses, indicated lower frequencies than those obtained in Brazil and Uruguay, with values ranging from 7.7 to 20.7% (Gallo, Caro, Villaarroel, & Araya, 1999; Strappini, Frankena, Metz, Gallo, & Kemp, 2010; Strappini, Sandoval, Gil, Silva, & Gallo, 2008). However, when the prevalence of bruising was assessed in cows (the cattle category that presented the highest frequency of bruises, according to Strappini et al. (2010)), the prevalence of bruising was much higher (92.2%) than the previous figures (Strappini, Frankena, Metz, Gallo, & Kemp, 2012). The authors identified a higher percentage of carcasses free of bruises when they were sourced directly from farms than those that were sourced from livestock markets (13/111, 11.7% and 7/147, 4.8%, respectively; the Fisher exact P = 0.058).

The stunning and slaughter procedures applied in the three countries have been also reported to have a negative impact on animal welfare and carcass quality; depending on the stunning method or the design of the equipment used. There was no indication of effects of these conditions on meat quality in the cited studies.

In a comparative study (Bertoloni & Andreola, 2010) between two types of stunning boxes, either a conventional model (without any restraint device) or an improved model (with a head restraint devices); using the same pneumatic gun with compressed air in both, the authors recorded a higher first-shot stunning efficiency in the box operated with restraint devices (94 vs. 84%).

The first animal welfare audit in Uruguay was conducted in 2003 and assessed 17 slaughterhouses authorised for export. The methodology used in this audit was described by Grandin (1998), including the evaluation of animal conditions and management performed at the slaughterhouses, from the guiding of animals into the pens to stunning. At that time, most of the plants evaluated demonstrated deficiencies in the evaluated criteria: loss of consciousness did not occur with the first blow in 13 of the slaughterhouses, the occurrence of vocalisation exceeded acceptable levels at all slaughterhouses, the use of electric prods was above maximum limits in 12 slaughterhouses and the percentage of falls and slips exceeded the maximum acceptable limits in 11 slaughterhouses (Imelio, Ibarlucea, & Borca, 2011). The second audit conducted in the beef and lamb production chains (INIA, & INAC, 2009) in Uruguay evidenced a significant reduction in the percentage of cattle carcasses with bruises, decreasing from 60 to 32% over the four years between the two audits.

4. Initiatives to promote the welfare of production animals in Latin America

Several initiatives (technical, legislative and political) have been developed in Latin America in an attempt to promote the welfare of livestock, with an emphasis on capacity building programs and the production of best management practices guidelines.

4.1. Qualification and training

In Chile, a program for the evaluation of pre-slaughter management conditions of cattle based on the method described by Grandin (1998) and slaughterhouse staff training was initiated in 2003. The first step was to perform an assessment of the management conditions of the slaughterhouse, followed by training in animal welfare and best management practices of handling and, concluded with a new assessment of handling practices using the trained personnel (using the same indicators as those used in the initial assessment). The results revealed a significant improvement in management conditions, with a 47.7% reduction in the use of electric prods during management (Gallo, Altamirano, & Uribe, 2003), better first-shot stunning efficiency (from 72.8 to 97.8%) and an increased percentage of animals correctly stunned from 0.0 to 99.8% (Gallo, Teuber, Cartes, Uribe, & Grandin, 2003).

Another staff training project (Gallo, 2010) run at 15 cattle and sheep slaughter plants between 2005 and 2010 resulted in a reduced incidence (4.5 to 0.5%) of animals showing signs of consciousness after stunning. Although the target of a maximum of 0.2% conscious animals was not achieved, this study showed the usefulness of personnel training to improve animal welfare at slaughter.

In Uruguay, training programs and distribution of guidelines through the cattle production chain and academic community were performed by the School of Veterinary Medicine at the University of the Republic in collaboration with the Collaborating Centre of the International Organization of Epizootics (OIE). The results of these initiatives have been recognised in the animal welfare audit conducted by the National Institute of Agricultural Research (Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agropecuarias — INIA) and the National Institute of Meat (Instituto Nacional de Carnes — INAC) in 2008 (INIA, & INAC, 2009), as reported previously in this article.

The development of best management practice guidelines, including detailed information on the best way to conduct the various management procedures involved in the performance of a given process, has been developed by Group ETCO (Ethology and Animal Ecology Research Group) at São Paulo State University (Universidade do Estado de São Paulo — UNESP). The adopted strategy was for each guideline’s title to address a specific process defined based on the
in favour of animal welfare and meat quality. The GO program is an example of the involvement of various links in the beef production chain. The Carrefour Guarantee of Origin program in partnership with Group ETCO (UNESP) is an example of the involvement of various links in the beef production chain.

Fig. 1. Percentage of carcasses downgraded due to severe bruising as a result of adopting a training program on best animal welfare practices and the supervision of pre-slaughter management procedures. Carrefour Guarantee of Origin program in the State of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. (Adapted from Paranhos da Costa, 2004).

Evidence of the positive effects of these initiatives on carcass bruising

5. Evidence of the positive effects of these initiatives on carcass bruising

Most records show the effects of animal welfare initiatives on a reduction in carcass bruising in cattle and pigs.

The work developed within the Carrefour® Guarantee of Origin (GO) Program in partnership with Group ETCO (UNESP) is an example of the involvement of various links in the beef production chain in favour of animal welfare and meat quality. The GO program is an international initiative of the Carrefour supermarket chain, whose objective is to produce animal welfare friendly and high quality meat (Carrefour, 2012). With the objective to reduce downgrading of beef carcass due to bruising, a training program involving the staff of 120 farms was run in Mato Grosso do Sul between June 2000 and April 2001.

As shown in Fig. 1 the implementation of the training program resulted in a significant reduction in the proportion of downgraded carcass due to bruising. The efficiency of the GO training program has been largely acknowledged through the media. However, negative emphasis is given to the increased percentage of carcasses downgraded due to severe bruising six months after the end of the training program, which demonstrates the need to maintain a constant follow-up of the pre-slaughter management procedures to ensure that the advances obtained are maintained over time.

Other personnel training initiatives to improve livestock welfare in Brazil are represented by the truck drivers training program, delivered by Embrapa Suínos e Aves, with the participation of 250 drivers in 2010, and STEPS program (National Program on Humane Slaughter) that trained from August 2009 to December 2010 more than 1500 professionals in 217 slaughter plants (WSPA, 2011).

The activity of several research groups in Brazil and Uruguay also contributed to the improvement of handling practices aiming at reducing carcass bruising in cattle. In Brazil, based on the results of a survey of carcass bruising on 600 beef cattle originating from a farm in the state of São Paulo which showed an average of 2.35 ± 2.12 bruises per carcass (ranging from 0 to 12 bruises/carcass), an experiment was carried out with the objective to identify the contribution of the handling procedures at loading on this carcass defect (Paranhos da Costa et al., 2008). Three handling procedures were compared in this study: T1 = routine farm management; T2 = routine farm management, eliminating the use of electric prods and T3 = adoption of best management practices, which involved improvements in the handling procedures, eliminating shouting and aggressive handling (hitting and electric prodding cattle) when preparing cattle batches for loading and running the loading procedures. The application of the improved practice (T3) resulted in a 56.9% reduction in carcass bruising (Fig. 2).

6. Conclusions and implications

It is worth noting that it is relatively simple to recognise and correct problems with animal welfare when the situation is critical; however, it becomes increasingly difficult to improve welfare once the most obvious problems are resolved (Paranhos da Costa & Pinto, 2006). Therefore, the process is one of continuous improvement, and the complexity of the problems encountered increases with every advance achieved. The working conditions and procedures performed should be periodically reviewed to maintain the advances achieved and to provide opportunities for further improvement.

We understand that to promote the long-term adoption of best management practices in Latin America, it will be necessary to provide solutions to the problems faced in everyday work at the farms and slaughterhouses and to guide all those involved in how to implement them. Thus, a change in focus is necessary, from discussing “what is going wrong” to addressing “how to solve the problem” (Quintiliano & Paranhos da Costa, 2008). It is worth noting that the solutions to the problems of animal welfare during pre-slaughter management in Latin America should be simple and easy to apply and should be matched to the technical and financial conditions of those who intend to apply them, with evidence of a greater chance for success when the well-being of the workers is also considered.

The successful experiences of raising awareness and training in animal welfare and best management practices should be repeated in many other parts of Latin America that face similar problems. It is also necessary to broaden the studies that address farm animal...

