Cover crops and herbicide timing management on soybean yield under no-tillage system
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Abstract – The objective of this work was to evaluate the effect of cover crops and timing of pre-emergence herbicide applications on soybean yield under no-tillage system. The experiment consisted of four cover crops (Panicum maximum, Urochloa ruziiensis, U. brizantha, and pearl millet) and fallow, in addition to four herbicide timings (30, 20, 10, and 0 days before soybean sowing), under no-tillage system (NTS), and of two control treatments under conventional tillage system (CTS). The experimental design was a completely randomized block, in a split-plot arrangement, with three replicates. Soybean under fallow, P. maximum, U. ruziiensis, U. brizantha, and pearl millet in the NTS and soybean under U. brizantha in the CTS did not differ significantly regarding yield. Soybean under fallow in the CTS significantly reduced yield when compared to the other treatments. The amount of straw on soil surface did not significantly affect soybean yield. Chemical management of P. maximum and U. brizantha near the soybean sowing date causes significant damage in soybean yield. However, herbicide timing in fallow, U. ruziiensis, and pearl millet does not affect soybean yield.
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Introduction

Soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] is largely planted in soil under no-tillage system (NTS), which is becoming an important soil management in Brazil. During the 2009/2010 harvest, no-tillage systems covered close to 25 million hectares of land area in the country (Companhia Nacional de Abastecimento, 2011). The lack of soil disturbance, use of crop rotation and the inclusion of cover crops in rotations are the major characteristics of the NTS (Dabney et al., 2001; Oliveira Junior et al., 2008; Yahuza, 2011). Currently, the use of forage species, such as Urochloa and Panicum, has attracted the interest of farmers and researchers due to the high quality and quantity of the straw produced (Crusciol et al., 2005; Torres et al., 2008; Nunes et al., 2009; Valle & Pagliarini, 2009). Good results in the implementation of the NTS also depend on the proper management of the cover crop and on the use of herbicides. The management of cover crops before planting is done with systemic herbicides, of which glyphosate is the most widely used (Oliveira Junior et al., 2008). Glyphosate is a...
broad spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide, normally used for the control of annual and perennial weeds and cover crops (Galli & Montezuma, 2005; Matallo et al., 2009). However, the effect of glyphosate on plant coverage is slow, taking a few days for those plants to die completely (Constantin & Oliveira Junior, 2005). Therefore, when herbicides are applied on the same day as that of soybean sowing, cover crops are still alive and standing. Consequently, there is initial shading in soybean seedlings, which harms their initial development (Santos et al., 2007). There have been reports of effects, such as yellowing, shading, reduced development, and reduction in crop yield (Constantin & Oliveira Júnior, 2005). According to Matallo et al. (2009), when glyphosate is applied near the crop sowing time, there may occur root exudation of herbicides from cover crops to soybean, especially if the roots from the cover crops treated with the herbicide are numerous and close to the roots of the crop.

Previous studies have shown that the best time to dry out weeds or cover crops is between 7 and 20 days before soybean sowing (Santos et al., 2007; Nunes et al., 2009; Monquero et al., 2010). However, there are few studies on the best time for drying out cover crops before soybean seeding (Monquero et al., 2010).

The correct management of cover crops with chemicals can provide higher nutrient availability due to subsequent degradation, greater persistence of straw on soil surface, and lower release of allelopathic substances to the soil (Yamada & Castro, 2007). It is also important to determine which cover crops are the best to promote soybean productivity.

The objective of this work was to evaluate the effect of cover crops and timing of pre-emergence herbicide applications on soybean yield under NTS.

Materials and Methods


The experimental design was a randomized complete block, in a split-plot, with three replicates in a 5.0x4.0 factorial arrangement (cover crops, plots) x (desiccation times, split-plots) under NTS, with two control treatments in the CTS. The cover crops used were: fallow, Panicum maximum, Urochloa ruziziensis (Syn. Brachiaria ruziziensis), Urochloa brizantha cultivar Marandu (Syn. Brachiaria brizantha cultivar Marandu), and pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R.BR cultivar BN-2). Two additional treatments were included under the CTS: U. brizantha incorporated 30 days before soybean sowing and fallow, when weeds were incorporated 30 days before soybean seeding. Plot size was 6.0x40 m, and split-plot size was 6.0x10 m.

Tropical forages were planted intercropped with corn (cultivar BRS 1010), in 0.20-m rows, using a mechanical planter set to distribute 10 kg ha⁻¹ of seeds with at least 30% of varietal purity, mixed with N-P₂O₅-K₂O fertilizer (4-30-16, 400 kg ha⁻¹), according to the “Santa Fé” system (Kluthcouski et al., 2000), on 11/27/2007. Pearl millet was sowed after soybean (cultivar Emgopa 316 RR) on 3/14/2008, at a 0.20-m row spacing. The cultural practices were conducted in accordance with Kluthcouski et al. (2000). Cover crops were desiccated at 30, 20, 10, and 0 days before soybean sowing. The drying was done by applying herbicide glyphosate at 1.8 kg ha⁻¹ of acid equivalent using boom sprayer with spray volume of 200 L ha⁻¹. Environmental conditions during pulverization were weak winds, temperature around 25°C, and relative humidity around 80%. This procedure was done after drying dew of cover crop leaves.

Soybean was sowed on 11/21/2008, using the cultivar 316 Emgopa RR in 0.45-m rows with 20 viable seeds per meter. Furrow opening, fertilizer distribution, and soybean seeding were done with a planter tractor implement (model Personale DRILL-13, Semeato, Passo Fundo, RS, Brazil). A total of 80 kg ha⁻¹ of P₂O₅ and K₂O was used, and cultural practices were performed in accordance with the recommendations for the culture (Tecnologias de produção de soja, 2006).
Plant stands were measured seven days after soybean emergence in one linear meter on the soybean row in each split-plot. Cover crops were sampled from a 1.0x1.0-m area randomly selected and then sampled four times in each plot. The collected plant material was placed in paper bags, dried in a forced ventilation oven at 65°C, and weighed. Dry matter was converted to kilograms per hectare.

Soybeans were harvested by hand in three 5.0-m rows. Plants were threshed and grain dried until seed moisture content reached 13%. Data of soybean plant stand, cover crop dry matter, and soybean yield were subjected to analysis of variance in a randomized complete block design with three replicates using the SAS program (SAS Institute, 1999), and means were compared by the LSD test, at 5% probability. To assess the effect of cover crops on soybean stand and yield, data from desiccated cover crops at 30 days before soybean sowing were used. Correlation analysis was performed to quantify the strength of association between herbicide timing, soybean yield, cover crop dry matter, and soybean stand. Regression analysis was applied between herbicide timing and soybean yield.

Results and Discussion

An interaction between the amount of cover crop on soil surface and herbicide timing was observed, although regression analysis was significant only for *U. ruziziensis* (Figure 1). In this sense, longer intervals between herbicide application and follow-crop planting significantly reduced the amount of *U. ruziziensis* biomass on soil surface. However, cover crop biomass, in general, does not affect soybean yield (Table 2). When correctly managed, cover crops do not impair soybean emergence and development, even with large biomass. Ricce et al. (2011) observed that cover crops did not affect soybean yield when desiccated at different times before soybean sowing (30, 20, 10, and 0 days).

The amount of straw remaining on soil surface for *P. maximum* was greater than that for all of the others cover crops (Table 2). In addition, dry matter was slightly lower for *P. maximum* in comparison to that obtained by Ferreira et al. (2010), which was 16,584 kg ha⁻¹. However, with the exception of pearl millet, all of the other cover crops produced considerable biomass, more than 4,000 kg ha⁻¹ of dry matter. In the NTS, cover crops that produce large amounts of dry matter are desirable for suitable ground cover (Nunes et al., 2006, 2009; Valle & Pagliarini, 2009; Ferreira et al., 2010).

Parcels under *P. maximum* were significantly different from those under *U. brizantha* in the CTS for soybean plant stand (Table 2). Furthermore, the correlation coefficient between cover crop biomass and soybean plant stand was highly significant (Table 3). Monquero et al. (2010) observed lower soybean stand with larger amounts of cover crop biomass, which did not cause reductions in soybean development. Soybean yield under *P. maximum* was similar for almost all treatments, except for fallow plowed, and did not significantly differ from higher yields, even with low soybean plant stand, but differed from parcels under *U. brizantha* plowed (Table 2). According to Peixoto et al. (2000), Scheeren et al. (2010), and Ricce et al. (2011), soybean has greater tolerance to variation in final plant population per area.

The NTS and the CTS with *U. brizantha* allowed satisfactory yield, which was superior to the national soybean average yield of 2,823 kg ha⁻¹ in 2010/2011 (Companhia Nacional de Abastecimento, 2011). These findings can be useful for cover crop selection in soil management systems, such as the NTS and the CTS.

Although significant, differences in the amount of cover crop straw they did not cause reduction in soybean yield (Table 2). In addition, correlation analysis showed no significant differences between soybean yield and amount of dry matter (Table 3).

### Table 1. Soil chemical properties at the experimental area(1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Ca (cmol/dm⁻³)</th>
<th>Mg (cmol/dm⁻³)</th>
<th>Al (cmol/dm⁻³)</th>
<th>P (mg/dm⁻³)</th>
<th>K (mg/dm⁻³)</th>
<th>Cu (mg/dm⁻³)</th>
<th>Zn (mg/dm⁻³)</th>
<th>Fe (mg/dm⁻³)</th>
<th>Mn (mg/dm⁻³)</th>
<th>MO (g/dm⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>194.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–10</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>107.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1)P and K were extracted with Mehlich 1 extracting solution (0.05 M HCl in 0.0125 M H₂SO₄). Phosphorus was determined colorimetrically, and K by flame photometry. Calcium, Mg, and Al were extracted with 1.0 M KCl. Aluminum was determined by titration with NaOH and Ca, and Mg by titration with EDTA (Claessen, 1997).
Other authors have reported that a large amount of straw can affect plant growth and yield productivity (Constantin & Oliveira Junior, 2005; Oliveira Junior et al., 2006; Santos et al., 2007; Monquero et al., 2010). Constantin et al. (2009) found reduction in the initial development and productivity of soybeans emerging on soil with large amount of cover crop biomass. This was also observed for parcels under *U. brizantha*, in which plant stands were significantly reduced when herbicide was applied near the soybean sowing date (Figure 2). However, there were no significant interactions between cover crops and herbicide timing for the others treatments.

The correlation of herbicide timing and soybean yield was positive (0.47). There was significant interaction between cover crop x herbicide timing, and regression analyses were significant only for parcels under *P. maximum* and *U. brizantha* (Figure 3). Therefore, for these grasses, increasing the period of time between the application of the herbicide and soybean sowing increases soybean yield. According to Nunes et al. (2009), the best time to apply glyphosate is between 7 and 14 days before soybean sowing, whereas desiccation held over 20 days is detrimental to the crop due to weed reinfestation. Monquero et al. (2010) also reported that straw desiccation more than 20 days before soybean sowing can cause damage in soybean development. However, Oliveira Junior (2006), Santos et al. (2007), and Constantin et al. (2009) recommend that cover crop desiccation should be done more than ten days before sowing to avoid possible cash crop yield reduction caused by the herbicide.

In the *P. maximum* and *U. brizantha* treatments, timing of herbicide application was important for better soybean yield (Figure 3). In both treatments, herbicide application near the sowing date was detrimental to soybean yield. In this sense, Yamada & Castro (2007) reported that the injury caused by cover crops due to herbicide application near the sowing date of the next summer crop could be aggravated by the higher dry matter yield of cover crops. According to Constantin & Oliveira Júnior (2005) and Matallo et al. (2009), because of the systemic nature of the effect of glyphosate on cover plants or weeds, it takes a few days to completely kill these plants. Consequently, there is

**Figure 1.** Cover crop dry matter production from *Urochloa ruziziensis* as a function of pre-emergence herbicide application timing.

**Table 2.** Cover crop dry matter on soil surface, soybean plant stand measures seven days after seeded, and soybean yield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cover crops</th>
<th>Dry matter (kg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Plant stand (no per m)</th>
<th>Yield (kg ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fallow</td>
<td>4,487cd</td>
<td>13ab</td>
<td>3,658a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Panicum maximum</em></td>
<td>13,604a</td>
<td>12b</td>
<td>3,746a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Urochloa ruziziensis</em></td>
<td>6,207bc</td>
<td>14ab</td>
<td>3,269a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Urochloa brizantha</em></td>
<td>7,614b</td>
<td>15b</td>
<td>3,496a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl millet</td>
<td>2,793d</td>
<td>16ab</td>
<td>3,506a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallow plowed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14ab</td>
<td>2,616b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>U. brizantha</em> plowed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17a</td>
<td>3,607a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>10,029</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3,229</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coefficient of variation (%) Coefficient of variation (%)

Fallow               | 24.7                 | 19.38                  | 9.21            |
| *Panicum maximum*    | <0.0001              | <0.0004                | <0.0075         |
| *Urochloa ruziziensis* | <0.0001            | <0.0004                | <0.0075         |
| *Urochloa brizantha* | -                   | 14a                    | 3,535a          |
| Pearl millet         | -                   | 16a                    | 3,112a          |

**Table 3.** Pearson correlation coefficients and p-value between herbicide timing, soybean yield (kg ha⁻¹), cover crop dry matter production (DMP), and soybean plant stand under no-tillage system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Herbicide timing</th>
<th>Soybean yield</th>
<th>DMP</th>
<th>Stand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herbicide timing</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.47 (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.0004)</td>
<td>0.005 (0.9696)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean yield</td>
<td>0.48 (0.0001)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.16 (0.2158)</td>
<td>-0.13 (0.3186)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP</td>
<td>0.44 (0.0004)</td>
<td>-0.16 (0.2158)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.50 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stand</td>
<td>0.005 (0.9696)</td>
<td>-0.13 (0.3186)</td>
<td>-0.50 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p*-value. Herbicide timings were 0, 10, 20, and 30 days before soybean sowing.
a great expenditure of energy from soybean seedlings to overcome the large amount of cover crop biomass (Constantin et al., 2009).

According to Yamada & Castro (2007), it is very important to wait from two to three weeks between desiccation and sowing of annual crops to avoid the interference of glyphosate, the allelopathic effect of weeds or shading, and to provide initial rapid and vigorous development of the succeeding crop. Managing the cover crop before sowing of agricultural crops with the use of herbicides brings benefits, such as the reduction of competition for water in early crop development; the promotion of the decomposition of cover crop residues or weeds, which can provide nutrients for the crop; the improvement of the uniformity of planting; the reduction in possible allelopathic effects of cover crops or weeds; and the promotion of increased productivity (Tokura & Nóbrega, 2006; Borghi & Crusciol, 2007; Mauli et al., 2011; Yahuza, 2011). *U. ruziensis*, pearl millet, and fallow can be desiccated any time before soybean sowing. However, for *P. maximum* and *U. brizantha*, desiccation done near the soybean sowing date causes decreases in crop yield.

**Conclusions**

1. The use of different cover crops, such as *Urochloa brizantha*, *U. ruziensis*, *Panicum maximum*, and pearl millet, under no-tillage system, and *U. brizantha* in conventional tillage, does not affect soybean yield.
2. Soybean under conventional tillage system after fallow produces the lowest soybean yield.
3. The amount of straw on soil surface does not significantly affect soybean yield.
4. Chemical management of *Panicum maximum* and *U. brizantha* close to the soybean sowing date reduces soybean yield, but herbicide timing has no effect on soybean yield after fallow, *U. ruziensis*, and pearl millet.
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