Experimentally Witnessing the Quantumness of Correlations
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The quantification of quantum correlations (other than entanglement) usually entails labored numerical optimization procedures also demanding quantum state tomographic methods. Thus it is interesting to have a laboratory friendly witness for the nature of correlations. In this Letter we report a direct experimental implementation of such a witness in a room temperature nuclear magnetic resonance system. In our experiment the nature of correlations is revealed by performing only few local magnetization measurements. We also compared the witness results with those for the symmetric quantum discord and we obtained a fairly good agreement.
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Nonlocality [1] and entanglement [2] of composed systems are distinguishing features of the quantum domain. Nevertheless, it is the possibility of locally broadcasting [3] the state of a multiparticle system that broadly defines the nature of its correlations. Remarkably, even separable (nonentangled) states can be quantum correlated. This kind of quantumness has an important role that is not only related to fundamental physical aspects but also concerning applications in quantum information science [11].

There are several unique aspects of quantum physics that discern it from classical theories. One of particular relevance to quantum information science is the impossibility of creating a perfect copy of an unknown quantum state [12]. This fact is employed in some quantum cryptographic protocols [12] and, when extended to multipartite mixed states [3], can be used to classify the aspects of correlations in a composed system as classical or quantum. Let us consider a bipartite system described by the density operator ρ and shared by parts a and b, with respective Hilbert spaces Ha and Hb. The correlations in state ρ are said to be locally broadcast if there are auxiliary systems Ha, Hb, Hc, and local operations (completely positive, trace-preserving linear maps) Λa: Ha → Ha ⊗ Hc and Λb: Hb → Hb ⊗ Hc such that

$$\rho_{sep} = \sum_{i,j} p_{i,j} |\alpha_i\rangle \langle \alpha_i| \otimes |\beta_j\rangle \langle \beta_j|,$$

where |\alpha_i\rangle and |\beta_j\rangle are the orthonormal basis for the subsystems state spaces Ha and Hb, respectively, and {p_{i,j}} is a probability distribution.

The class of states in Eq. (1) is contained in the set of separable states; those states that can be generated via local operations coordinated by communicating classical bits—whose more general form is ρsep = \sum_i p_i \rho_i^a \otimes \rho_i^b, where {p_i} is a probability distribution and \rho_i^a (\rho_i^b) is a valid density operator for the subsystem a (b). There are separable states that cannot be cast in terms of orthogonal local basis as those given in Eq. (1) and, therefore, present nonclassical correlations. Underneath such states lies a nonclassicality beyond the entanglement-separability paradigm, which can be quantified by a departure between classical and quantum versions of information theory. One of the most popular measures for this kind of nonclassicality is the quantum discord [13]. This quantifier has been receiving a great deal of attention [6–8,14]. And it was proposed as a figure of merit for the quantum advantage in some computational models without or with little entanglement [4,5].

In general, measures of nonclassical correlations involve complete knowledge of the system’s state followed by extremization procedures. In the laboratory, the first task is implemented by quantum state tomographic methods and the second one is carried out by additional numerical manipulations. These procedures are demanding and propagate the unavoidable experimental errors. This observation motivates the search for alternatives regarding the classification of correlations in quantum states. Once the nature of these correlations somehow determines what
can and cannot be done with a given system, it is sometimes enough to know whether the correlations in that system have a classical or a quantum nature. To accomplish this last task it is convenient to have an observable witness for the quantumness of correlations in the system. However, as the state space of classical correlated systems is not convex, a linear witness cannot be used in general, and we have to take advantage of a nonlinear witness. For a wide class of two-qubit systems, \( \rho = (\mathbb{I}^a + \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{A}_i \sigma_i^a \otimes \mathbb{I}^b + b_0 \mathbb{I}^a \otimes \sigma_i^b + C \sigma_i^a \otimes \sigma_i^b)/4 \), a sufficient condition for classicality of correlations is [15]

\[
W_\rho = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=i+1}^4 |\langle O_i \rangle_\rho \langle O_j \rangle_\rho| = 0, \tag{2}
\]

with \( O_i = \sigma_i^a \otimes \sigma_i^b \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) and \( O_4 = \sum_{i=1}^3 (z_i \sigma_i^a \otimes \mathbb{I}^b + w_i \mathbb{I}^a \otimes \sigma_i^b) \). The \( \sigma_i^k \) is the \( i \)th component of the Pauli operator in subsystem \( a(b) \). \( \mathcal{A}_i \), \( B_i \), \( z_i \), \( w_i \) \( \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( z_i, w_i \) randomly chosen and constrained such that

\[
\sum_i z_i^2 = \sum_i w_i^2 = 1.
\]

For the so-called Bell-diagonal class of states, \( \rho_{\mathrm{BD}} = (\mathbb{I}^a + \sum_{i=1}^3 C \sigma_i^a \otimes \sigma_i^b)/4 \), \( W_{\rho_{\mathrm{BD}}} = 0 \) is also a necessary condition for the absence of quantumness in the correlations of the composite system (in this case \( \langle O_4 \rangle_{\rho_{\mathrm{BD}}} = 0 \) [15]). We can easily verify that the observables in Eq. (2) can be written in terms of one component of the magnetization in one subsystem as \( \langle O_i \rangle_\rho = \langle \sigma_i^a \otimes \mathbb{I}^b \rangle_{\xi_i} \), with \( \xi_i = U_{a\rightarrow b}[R_n(\theta_i) \rho R_n(\theta_i)]U_{a\rightarrow b} \), where \( R_n(\theta_i) = R_n^{(a)}(\theta_i) \otimes R_n^{(b)}(\theta_i) \), and \( R_n^{(a)}(\theta) \) is a local rotation by an angle \( \theta \) around direction \( n_i \), with \( \theta_1 = 0, \theta_2 = \theta_3 = \pi/2 \), \( n_2 = y \), and \( n_3 = z \). \( U_{a\rightarrow b} \) is the controlled-NOT gate with the subsystem \( a \) as control.

We experimentally implemented the aforementioned witness using the room temperature nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) system. In this scenario the qubits (quantum bits) are encoded in nuclear spins and they are manipulated by radio-frequency (rf) pulses. Unitary operations are achieved by suitable choice of pulse amplitudes, phases and durations, and the transverse magnetizations are obtained directly from the NMR signal [16]. The state of the two-qubit system is described by a density matrix in the high temperature expansion (where entanglement was ruled out), which takes the form \( \rho = \mathbb{I}^{ab}/4 + e \Delta \rho \), with \( e = \omega_0/4k_B T \sim 10^{-5} \) as the ratio between the magnetic and thermal energies and \( \Delta \rho \) as the deviation matrix [12,16]. A carbon-13 enriched chloroform (CHCl\(_3\)) solution at 25 \(^\circ\)C was used in the experiments, with the two qubits being encoded in the \(^1\)H and \(^13\)C spin-1/2 nuclei. In order to experimentally demonstrate the witness protocol, two initial states were prepared by mapping them into the deviation matrix using the general pulse sequence scheme as shown in Fig. 1. The first state corresponds to a quantum correlated Bell-diagonal state, which is obtained from the thermal equilibrium by applying the pulse sequence for producing the pseudopure state [11], followed by the pulses that implement a pseudo-EPR gate [17], see Fig. 1 [18]. The second state is a classically correlated Bell-diagonal state, obtained by applying a \( z \)-gradient pulse after the aforementioned pulse sequence. The witnessing of the thermal equilibrium state was also performed as a reference. The experimental procedure depicted in Fig. 1 was run 3 times for each initial state in order to measure the magnetization \( \langle \sigma_i^a \rangle_{\xi_i} \) in the states \( \xi_i \) that leads to the two-point correlation functions \( \langle \sigma_i^a \otimes \sigma_i^b \rangle_\rho \).

![FIG. 1 (color online). (a) Schematic representation of the operation sequence used to witness the nonclassical nature of correlations. (b) Equivalent pulse sequence employed in our experiment. The thicker filled bars represent \( \pi/2 \) pulses, the thinner bars indicate \( \pi/4 \) pulses, and the grey bars indicate \( \pi/6 \) pulses with the phases as shown (negative pulse phases are described by a bar over the symbol). The pulses represented as unfilled dashed bars are modified to achieve the different rotations necessary for the witness protocol. The dashed gradient pulse is applied to obtain the classically correlated Bell-diagonal state. The time periods \( \frac{1}{3} \), \( \frac{2}{3} \), and \( \frac{1}{3} \) represent free evolutions under the \( J \) coupling [18].](https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.1073/pnas.1018696108)
classical correlation (dark blue columns) measured in three expectation value, (c) quantum discord (light blue columns) and (c) quantum discord was computed after full QST and numerical extremization procedures. The correlations are displayed in units of ln2 = θ [18].

The witness measured for the three initial states is the deviation matrix elements reconstructed by QST for the two initial prepared Bell-diagonal states: (a) ρQC quantum correlated (equivalent to C1 = 2θ, C2 = 2θ, and C3 = −2θ); (b) ρCC classically correlated (equivalent to C1 = 0, C2 = 0, and C3 = −4θ); and also for (c) ρT the thermal equilibrium state. The deviation matrix elements are displayed in the usual computational basis, where |0⟩ and |1⟩ represent the eigenstates of σz for each qubit. The accuracy of prepared initial states can be estimated by the normalized trace distance from the ideal ones, δρideal,i prep = tr[Δρideal,i − Δρprep,i]/2 ≈ 0.1 (for both ρQC and ρCC).

correlated Bell-diagonal state (Wpcc) it is about 0.04, i.e., within the classicality cutoff limit. In fact, the witness works perfectly in the present setup, in the sense that it easily sorts out quantum and classically correlated states. Figure 2(c) also displays the quantum discord computed from the experimentally reconstructed deviation matrices using the approach introduced in Ref. [6]. As can be seen, the result for the quantum discord is in agreement with the quantum discord determined from the decoherence dynamics of the witness, by letting the decoherent evolution we performed the witness circuit, and also a QST in order to compare the witness results with those for correlation quantifiers. The noise spin environment causes loss of phase relations among the energy eigenstates and exchange of energy between system and environment.

FIG. 2 (color online). (a) The 1H spectra (normalized by the thermal equilibrium state spectrum) obtained after the witness circuit execution (with rotations Rn, Rm, and Rn), (b) witness expectation value, (c) quantum discord (light blue columns) and classical correlation (dark blue columns) measured in three different initial states, ρQC quantum correlated, ρCC classically correlated, and ρT thermal equilibrium state. The dashed line represents the experimental error bound for determination of classically correlated (zero discord) states. The witness was measured directly performing the circuit depicted in Fig. 1(a), while the classical correlation and the symmetric quantum discord was computed after full QST and numerical extremization procedures. The correlations are displayed in units of e2/ln2)bit [18].

used as a reference the thermal equilibrium state, which is supposed to have no correlations at room temperature [6]. The witness measured for this state (Wp) was about 0.05, which is assumed to be the error margin for our experiment. This introduces the bound shown in Fig. 2(b) for a classically correlated (zero discord) state.

The witness measured for the three initial states is displayed in Fig. 2(b). For the quantum correlated Bell-diagonal state the witness (Wpqc) is found to be about 3.13 (far above the 0.05 bound), while for the classical
The effective transversal relaxation times are $T^\text{struct}_{\text{QC}}$ for an intermediate classically correlated state. The panel displays the measured witness and computed correlations for $\rho_{\text{QC}}$ [Fig. 3(a)] relaxed during a time interval, $t_n = n\delta t$ ($\delta t = 55.7$ ms, $n = 0, 2, \ldots, 11$), before performing the witness measurement protocol. The red tick bars represent the witness expectation value (scale on the right), the dark grey section represents the amount of classical correlation, the light grey section represents the symmetric quantum discord, and the black section represents the amount of classical correlation, which are also diminished resulting in an only classically correlated state. This occurs near the effective transversal relaxation time. The nonclassicality is diminished until reaching an only classically correlated state. This evolution period there are just reminiscent classical correlations, which are also diminished resulting in an uncorrelated state (the room temperature thermal equilibrium state) after the spin-lattice relaxation time. Again, we obtain a fairly good agreement between the witness expectation values and the correlation quantifiers.

Summarizing, we presented a direct experimental implementation of a witness for the quantumness of correlations (other than entanglement) in a composite system. Our work showed that it is possible to infer the nature of the correlations in a bipartite system performing only few local measurements over one of the subsystems (just three measurements for both $\rho_{\text{QC}}$ and $\rho_{\text{CC}}$). The witness presented in Eq. (2) was generalized to higher-dimensional systems [21]. Therefore, the methods employed here can also be easily applied for witnessing correlations in systems with dimensions higher than two. Our strategy precludes the demanding tomographic state reconstruction and the numerical extremization methods included in quantum correlation quantifiers (like quantum discord). This method offers a versatile test bed for the nature of a composite system that can be applied to other experimental physical contexts. Moreover, in such a proof of principle, we showed that nonclassical correlations can be present even in highly mixed states as those in room temperature magnetic resonance experiments.
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Note added.—After the submission of this Letter, a related work has appeared [22], which employs other methods to witness nonclassicality in an NMR system.
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