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G. P. SANTANA(2), J. D. FABRIS(3), A. T. GOULART(4) & D. P. SANTANA(5)

SUMMARY

The main objective of this work was to characterize the magnetic minerals and to identify their pedogenic transformation on a steatite-forming soil of Minas Gerais, Brazil. The iron-rich spinel phase was characterized by chemical analysis, powder X-ray diffraction, Mössbauer spectroscopy, with and without an externally applied magnetic field of 6 tesla, and saturation magnetization measurements. Nearly stoichiometric and well-crystallized magnetite was the only magnetic mineral actually detected. The cubic unit cell parameter of the fresh rock magnetite was found to be \( a_0 = 0.8407(5) \) nm. Hematite (hexagonal cell; \( a = 0.5036(3) \) nm, \( c = 1.375(4) \) nm) was detected in the altered rock and in the sand-soil and silt-soil fractions. Magnetite is assumed to transform into hematite during pedogenesis through progressive oxidation of structural Fe\(^{2+}\) to Fe\(^{3+}\). In partially oxidized magnetites, a relatively small proportion of Fe\(^{3+}\) was interpreted as being uncoupled from the Fe\(^{2+}\)-Fe\(^{3+}\) charge transfer system, in octahedral sites of the spinel structure. Compositional formulae of magnetite with different degrees of non-stoichiometry are proposed. Ilmenite was found in minor proportions in the magnetically extracted portions from both rock and soil samples.

Index terms: Iron-rich spinel, iron oxide, soapstone, magnetic soil, Mössbauer spectroscopy.
INTRODUCTION

Soils containing iron-rich spinel phases, commonly magnetite (ideal formula, Fe$_3$O$_4$) or maghemite (γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$), both ferrimagnetically ordered oxides with spinel structure, that impart a soil magnetization higher than 1 J T$^{-1}$ kg$^{-1}$ ($= A$ m$^2$ kg$^{-1}$), are considered magnetic. In a recent paper, Fontes et al. (2000) discussed several mineralogical aspects and reported magnetic measurements on samples of soils from five different lithodomains. Magnetic pedons cover as much as 5% of the Brazilian land area (Resende et al., 1986; Moukarika et al., 1991; Fabris et al., 1997a). Most commonly, iron oxides in such intensively weathered soils bear significant amounts of isomorphic substituents, such as Al$^{3+}$, Ti$^{4+}$, and Mg$^{2+}$. Magnetite has been earlier reported as being the main iron-rich spinel in the sand fraction, whereas maghemite would more likely be found in the finer fractions of magnetic soils forming on mafic lithology (CSESP, 1960; Rauen, 1980; Curi 1983; Santana, 1984; Curi & Franzmeier 1987; Resende et al., 1988; Dematté & Marconi 1991). Other works have unequivocally detected only maghemite in the soil-sand fraction (Allan et al., 1989; Fabris et al., 1995; Goulart, 1998), in the transitional C/R horizon (Ferreira, 1995), or even in the slightly altered parent rock (Pinto et al., 1997; Pinto et al., 1998) of tropical mafic systems. Magnetite is most probably the lithogenic precursor of this soil maghemite in such systems (Jesus Filho et al., 1995; Fabris et al., 1997b; Mussel et al., 1999). Still recently, magnetite was identified in the sand fraction of a basalt-derived soil from a subtropical area of north Argentina (Mijovilovich et al., 1998). Those results may suggest that the stability of the rock-magnetite may be somehow related to the climate and is significantly sensitive to the intense weathering conditions prevailing during pedogenesis in tropical environments. As a corollary, a general iron oxide transformation pathway, starting on magnetite would involve the following fundamental steps, in mafic systems: magnetite (fresh rock) (0) maghemite (altered rock and soil) (ι) hematite (soil). Basalt magnetite is progressively oxidized to soil maghemite, which is finally transformed to hematite. Step (1) is a relatively fast process in that lithology and is accomplished by progressive oxidation of octahedral [Fe$^{2+}$] to [Fe$^{3+}$], by losing some structural iron and creating cation vacancies, to compensate unbalanced charges, according to the following substitutional series

$$[\text{Fe}^{3+}\{\text{Fe}^{3+}_{1+2x}\text{Fe}^{2+}_{1-3x}\}]_8\text{O}_4 \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1/3$$

where [ ] and { } stand for tetrahedral and octahedral sites and □ represents cation vacancies in the spinel structure, respectively; in step (2), much of certain substitutional elements (Co, Ni, Zn and Cu) tend to migrate from the lattice toward the surface of the grain whereas Mn and Cr are favourably retained in the hematite structure (Sidhu et al., 1980). Al-hematite and Al-goethite are ubiquitously found in pedoenvironments (e.g. Bigham et al., 1978; Schwertmann & Taylor, 1989). An important question arises from those observations: would this mineralogenic chain be still valid for other lithologies than those dominated by basalt-like rocks, where titanium is typically the main substituent for iron in the lithogenic magnetite?
Soils forming on steatite are also magnetic, but differently from those from mafic lithology, the magnetization is thought to be essentially due to the presence of magnetite inherited from this metamorphic ultramafic/ultrabasic rock, which also contains talc, dolomite and magnesian chlorite as major minerals (Roesser et al., 1980; Ladeira et al., 1983; Roesser et al., 1987). Gonçalves et al. (1991) described a steatite ("soapstone") from Congonhas, MG, Brazil, as having talc (35.7%), carbonate (29.1%), chlorite (8.4%), tremolite (1.4%) and an opaque fraction (3.6%). However, no further study regarding the nature of the magnetite from steatite and its transformation during pedogenesis in this lithology has been reported.

So far, it is not clear if or how the pedogenic stability of lithogenic magnetite is related to the nature of the parent material. However, the factors affecting its formation in the rock or those prevailing during pedogenesis determine its fate through soil mineral genesis pathways. Redox potential, pH and ions (Fe$^{3+}$, for example), organic matter and water availability are among possible factors that govern the geochemical processes.

Very commonly, the magnetic iron oxide fraction in soils corresponds to a range of solid solution between Fe$_3$O$_4$ and $\gamma$Fe$_2$O$_3$, which means that a separation of the two phases may not be possible in practice (Coey et al., 1971).

Selective chemical dissolution during sample preparation may improve substantially the mineralogical analysis of iron oxide in complex soil mineral assemblages. Concentrated NaOH preferentially removes silicate and gibbsite (Norris & Taylor, 1961; Kämpf & Schwertmann, 1982). Oxalate removes magnetite (Blesa et al., 1986) and, if the reaction is carried out in darkness, it selectively attacks poorer crystallized oxides as well (McKeague et al., 1966; Schwertmann, 1972). The mixture citrate - bicarbonate - dithionite (CBD) also removes crystalline iron oxides (Mehra & Jackson, 1960) and extracts preferentially pedogenic maghemite over lithogenic magnetite (Singer et al., 1995).

The purposes of this work were (a) to characterize the magnetite of a steatite; (b) to identify its alteration products during pedogenesis, and (c) to put in evidence the usefulness of chemical dissolution methods during sample preparation in order to improve the mineralogical analysis.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Samples of steatite ("soapstone") and from the B horizon of its overlying CAMBISLOSO (according to the Brazilian Soil Classification System; see EMBRAPA, 1999) were collected at the depth of 40-50 cm, from a soil pedon in Congonhas (20° 29' 59" S 43°51' 28" W), in the Quadrilátero Ferrífero, a Precambrian geodomain that provides economically exploitable iron ore deposits, in Minas Gerais, Brazil. Cobbles of steatite, some of them presenting easily visible altered zones on the surface of the sample, were collected from an outcropping rock block, in the immediate surroundings of the sampling soil profile.

The soil samples were first broken up by hand, left to dry in air and sieved to obtain the fine earth (mean diameter of particles, $\phi < 2$ mm; saturation magnetization, $\sigma_s = 1.9$ J T$^{-1}$ kg$^{-1}$). This fraction was then dispersed with 500 mL NH$_4$OH -0.6 mol L$^{-1}$, under stirring in a mixer. The suspension was then sieved, to separate the sand fraction ($\phi = 0.05-2$ mm; 17% of the soil mass, $\sigma_s = 4.1$ J T$^{-1}$ kg$^{-1}$). The silt ($\phi = 0.002-0.05$ mm; 15%, $\sigma_s = 1.0$ J T$^{-1}$ kg$^{-1}$) and the clay ($\phi < 2$ μm; 68%, $\sigma_s = 0.31$ J T$^{-1}$ kg$^{-1}$) fractions were separated by centrifuging the remainder, according to Jackson (1969). The altered rock material ($\sigma_s = 2.6$ J T$^{-1}$ kg$^{-1}$) was separated from about 3-4 cm nearly circular brownish zones clearly identified throughout the cut surface of the greenish matrix of cobbles of rock samples ($\sigma_s = 1.5$ J T$^{-1}$ kg$^{-1}$). This altered rock material was sieved to 400 mesh.

The magnetic separates from the ground fresh and the $\phi < 400$ mesh fraction of the altered rock samples and from soil-sand and -silt were obtained from a dilute slurry in water while stirring in a magnetic rod stirrer. The magnetic particles were then separated with a small hand magnet. Except for the fresh rock, a first set of all magnetic extracts were submitted to seven successive treatments with CBD (samples labeled 7xCBD); a second one was independently treated with oxalic acid (28.3 g L$^{-1}$) + ammonium oxalate (25.2 g L$^{-1}$) for four hours, with stirring. The four magnetically-extracted (fresh and altered rock and soil sand and silt) and three CBD-treated (all previous ones but the fresh rock) samples were analyzed by XRD with a Rigaku Geigerflex with a graphite diffracted beam monochromator using Cu(Kα) radiation. NaCl was used as internal standard. Mössbauer spectra were collected at room temperature, and at 160 K under an externally applied magnetic field of 6 tesla, parallel to γ-radiation, in a constant acceleration transmission set up and a $^{57}$Co/Rh source. Saturation magnetization measurements were made with a portable soil magnetometer conceived by Coey et al. (1992). The chemical analysis of the magnetic fractions was carried out by dissolving the sample in hydrochloric acid 1:1. The solution so obtained was then analyzed for iron by the standard dichromatometric method (Jeffery & Hutchison, 1981), and with an Analytical Instruments plasma emission spectrophotometer (mod. Spectroflow) for the other elements. The chemical compositions of the fresh rock, altered rock 7xCBD, sand 7xCBD and silt 7xCBD are presented in Table 1.
Table 1. Chemical composition of magnetic fractions of fresh and altered rocks, sand 7xCBD and silt 7Xcbd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Fresh</th>
<th>Altered</th>
<th>Sand</th>
<th>Silt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI(1)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>103.6</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>103.7</td>
<td>97.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Loss on ignition.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The XRD patterns (Figure 1) of the magnetic extracts from the fresh and altered rock (Figure 1a and b) and sand and silt (Figure 1c and d) samples revealed the existence of intense reflections due to an iron-rich spinel phase in all samples, whereas hematite is present in all but the fresh rock samples. As expected, patterns (not shown) for the samples 7xCBD-treated indicate no reflection due to hematite. Residual talc is clearly detected only in the sand and silt samples (Figures 1cd). The lattice parameter of magnetite \( (a = 0.8407(5) \text{ nm}) \) from the fresh rock (Table 2) is very close to the reported value for pure magnetite \( (a = 0.83967 \text{ nm}, \text{JCPDS, 1980, card 
# 19-629}) \). On the other hand, a decrease in the cell dimension can be observed on going from the fresh rock to altered rock, and from soil-sand to silt. Oxidation of magnetite leads to a smaller unit cell. CBD treatment does not modify significantly the unit cell dimension of magnetite, relatively to the untreated magnetic extract (Table 2), whereas the oxalate-treated samples show an increase of this parameter, that approaches the \( a \)-value of the magnetite from the fresh steatite. This result suggests that oxalate preferentially attacks partially oxidized magnetite, which probably coats a more preserved core of the spinel grain. Lattice parameters of the hexagonal cell of the hematite were found to be \( a = 0.5036(3) \text{ nm} \) and \( c = 1.375(4) \text{ nm} \), determined from the oxalate-treated silt, but similar values were found for this phase from sand and altered rock samples.

Magnetization \( (\sigma) \) values, also given in table 2, indicate that the procedure to remove non-magnetic minerals concentrates the magnetic extract as much as 59-fold for the fresh rock, 28-fold for the altered rock, 16-fold for the sand and 44-fold for the silt samples. The value of \( \sigma = 88.4 \text{ J T}^{-1}\text{ kg}^{-1} \) for the magnetic extract of the fresh rock in table 2 is well above the characteristic magnetization of pure maghemite \( \sigma = -60 \text{ J T}^{-1}\text{ kg}^{-1} \) (Coey, 1988). This result, together with the cell parameter given in table 2, confirms that magnetite \( (\sigma = 100 \text{ J T}^{-1}\text{ kg}^{-1}, \) for the pure oxide) is the dominant spinel phase in steatite. Finally, it is seen that \( \sigma \) decreases from the fresh rock to the soil-silt, especially for the untreated samples.

Figure 1. Powder X-ray patterns of (a) fresh rock; (b) altered rock; (c) soil-sand and (d) soil-silt. Radiation CuKα. Hm = hematite, Mt = magnetite, Ta = talc. NaCl = internal standard.
Table 2. Magnetization and unit cell dimension of magnetite of whole magnetic extract and 7xCBD and oxalate-treated rock samples and soil fractions. Typical uncertainties over the magnetization is ~3%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Magnetization</th>
<th>Unit cell dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnetic extract (ME)</td>
<td>ME treated 7xCBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c/J T⁻¹ kg⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh rock</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered rock</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>75.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil-sand</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil-silt</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>71.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

nd: Not determined.

The proportion of hematite as given by Mössbauer analysis in the magnetic extract (Table 3 and Figure 2) increases in the sequence fresh rock (hematite-free) < altered rock < soil-sand < soil-silt. The room temperature hyperfine field of hematite varies from 51.4 T (altered rock) to 51.1 T (soil-silt), which are close to the value of the bulky stoichiometric hematite, 51.8 T (Fysh & Clark, 1982; Murad & Schwertmann, 1986). Spectra of the altered rock and soil-sand and -silt samples show additionally a small central doublet (2-4% of the whole spectral area) which may be assigned to a superparamagnetic hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) together with some ilmenite (FeTiO₃). The absence of any maghemite strongly suggests that, differently from what was reportedly found for the mafic lithology, magnetite is being transformed directly into hematite, in this steatite system. This same conclusion was recently drawn by Lagoeiro (1998) on the magnetite - hematite transformation in Itabira Iron Formation (itabirite) of the same broad geological area of Quadrilátero Ferrífero.

Mössbauer spectra of the 7xCBD treated samples are shown in figure 3, and related fitted parameters are presented in table 4. It can be noted that the outermost sextet due to hematite (quadrupole shift, ε = -0.2 mm s⁻¹) of figure 2b through 2d (untreated samples) virtually disappears in figure 3b through 3d (corresponding CBD treated samples), confirming the effectiveness of this selective chemical attack in preferentially removing hematite. Any eventually existing maghemite would be easily detected, particularly in the untreated samples, as its hyperfine field is lower than that of hematite and ε = 0. The persisting low intensity (< 4% of the spectral area) doublets may be due to ilmenite. The hyperfine parameters of ilmenite, obtained with low velocity range in full scale (spectrum not shown), were fixed during fitting of magnetic spectra (Tables 3 and 4). Differently from ilmenite of mafic origin (Goulart et al., 1994; Doriguetto et al., 1998), no Fe³⁺ was detected in this case.

Taking into account that the recoilless fraction of the octahedral site f(III) of the spinel structure is 6% lower than that of tetrahedral site f(II) at room temperature (Sawatzky et al., 1969), the ratio Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ is 1.98 for the fresh rock, which is virtually the expected value for the stoichiometric magnetite (Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ = 2). The hyperfine parameter for all samples (Table 4) is characteristic of pure magnetite.

Figure 2. Room temperature Mössbauer spectra of untreated magnetic extracts from (a) fresh rock; (b) altered rock; (c) soil-sand and (d) soil-silt.
Table 3. Room temperature Mössbauer parameters of the whole untreated fractions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Silte</th>
<th>Mössbauer parameters</th>
<th>B$_{r}$/tesla</th>
<th>($\delta$/Fe)/mm s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\epsilon$/mm s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>RA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh rock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fe$^{3+}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered rock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fe$^{3+}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0.20$^{(*)}$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil-sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fe$^{3+}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.18$^{(*)}$</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil-silt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fe$^{3+}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>-0.020</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-0.20$^{(*)}$</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.68$^{(*)}$</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.58$^{(*)}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$B_{r}$ = hyperfine field; $\delta$/Fe = isomer shift relative to the metallic iron; $\epsilon$ = quadruple shift; $\Delta$ = quadruple splitting; RA = relative area of the subspectrum; sites [ ] and { } refer, respectively, to tetrahedral and octahedral iron sites in the spinel structure; Hm = hematite; I = ilmenite, D = doublet.

$^{(*)}$Fixed during fitting convergence.

Table 4. Room temperature Mössbauer parameters of the 7xCBD treated samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Silte</th>
<th>Mössbauer parameters</th>
<th>B$_{r}$/tesla</th>
<th>($\delta$/Fe)/mm s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\epsilon$/mm s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>RA$<em>{7xCBD}$/RA$</em>{7xCBD}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh rock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fe$^{3+}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered rock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fe$^{3+}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.02$^{(*)}$</td>
<td>0.66$^{(*)}$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil-Sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fe$^{3+}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.02$^{(*)}$</td>
<td>0.66$^{(*)}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil-Silt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fe$^{3+}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-0.020</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.02$^{(*)}$</td>
<td>0.66$^{(*)}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$B_{r}$ = hyperfine field; $\delta$/Fe = isomer shift relative to the metallic iron; $\epsilon$ = quadruple shift; $\Delta$ = quadruple splitting; RA = relative area of the subspectrum; sites [ ] and { } refer, respectively, to the tetrahedral and octahedral iron sites in the spinel structure; Hm = hematite; I = ilmenite, D = doublet.

$^{(*)}$Fixed during fitting convergence.

Figure 3. Room temperature Mössbauer spectra from (a) untreated magnetic extract of the fresh rock and treated 7xCBD magnetic extracts from (b) altered rock; (c) soil-sand and (d) soil-silt samples.
The 6-tesla Mössbauer spectra of CBD-treated samples, obtained at 160 K, are presented in figure 4 and related parameters in table 5. It is interesting to observe that a relatively low-intensity magnetic subspectrum appears in the altered rock and soil-silt samples, which is assigned to uncoupled Fe$^{3+}$ in octahedral spinel sites. In the pair localized hopping model, this pattern corresponds to ferric ion in excess of the number of Fe$^{2+}$-Fe$^{3+}$ pairs in the octahedral site (Coey et al., 1971). No ilmenite is clearly detected in these spectra. Subspectra due to Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ in octahedral and Fe$^{3+}$ in tetrahedral sites can now be clearly separated. Some hematite (−7% of the relative subspectral area) also appears in the soil-silt sample. This result reflects the ineffectiveness of CBD attack in removing hematite from the silt sample. Even discarding any eventual difference between f-values of octahedral and tetrahedral sites of magnetite, at this temperature, the relative ferrous iron content may be directly derived from in-field Mössbauer data. From the chemical data (Table 1), neglecting other elements smaller than chromium, the following formula is deduced for the fresh rock magnetite, provided that all chromium occupies the octahedral site:

$$\text{[Fe}^{3+}\text{]}\{\text{Fe}^{3+}0.95\text{Fe}^{3+0.05}\text{Fe}^{3+0.02}\text{Cr}^{3+0.06\text{□0.02}}\}\text{O}_4$$

Table 6 presents the spinel formulae for the complete set of samples and the degree of non-stoichiometry (\(\delta\)), defined as being the relation given by the spinel formula index (\(X_{Fe}\)) ratio:

$$\delta = \frac{x_{[Fe^{3+}2+]} - 2x_{[Fe^{2+}]} - x_{[Fe^{3+}]} - 2 - 2\delta}{2}$$

Increases of \(\delta\) values from the fresh to the altered rock and from the soil-sand to -silt fractions reflect the weathering stage of magnetite in this system.

Table 5. 160 K in-field (6 tesla) Mössbauer parameters of the 7xCBD treated samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Silte</th>
<th>Mössbauer parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(B_{hf})/tesla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh rock</td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+}])</td>
<td>56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+2+}])</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered rock</td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+}])</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+2+}])</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+}])</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil-Sand</td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+}])</td>
<td>56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+2+}])</td>
<td>41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil-Silt</td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+}])</td>
<td>56.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+2+}])</td>
<td>41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+}])</td>
<td>45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hm</td>
<td>53.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(B_{hf}\) = hyperfine field; \(\delta/\text{Fe}\) = isomer shift relative to the metallic iron; \(\varepsilon\) = quadruple shift; \(\Delta\) = quadruple splitting; RA = relative area of the subspectrum; sites \{\} and \(\text{□}\) refer, respectively, to the tetrahedral and octahedral iron sites in the spinel structure; Hm = hematite; I = ilmenite, D = doublet.

(1) Fixed during fitting convergence.

Figure 4. 160 K Mössbauer spectra obtained with an externally applied magnetic field of 6 tesla. (a) Untreated magnetic extract of the fresh rock and treated 7xCBD magnetic extracts from (b) altered rock; (c) soil-sand and (d) soil-silt samples.
Table 6. Spinel formulae, their corresponding molar masses and degrees of non-stoichiometry (z)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Molar Mass/g mol(^1)</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh Rock</td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+}]\text{[Fe}^{0.95}\text{Fe}^{3+}0.95\text{Fe}^{0.89}0.1\text{Cr}^{0.06}0.04\text{O}_4]_2)</td>
<td>230.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered Rock</td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+}]\text{[Fe}^{0.95}\text{Fe}^{3+}0.95\text{Fe}^{0.89}0.1\text{Cr}^{0.06}0.04\text{O}_4]_2)</td>
<td>229.2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil-sand</td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+}]\text{[Fe}^{0.94}\text{Fe}^{3+}0.94\text{Fe}^{0.85}0.15\text{Cr}^{0.05}0.05\text{O}_4]_2)</td>
<td>230.3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil-silt</td>
<td>([\text{Fe}^{3+}]\text{[Fe}^{0.93}\text{Fe}^{3+}0.93\text{Fe}^{0.83}0.17\text{Cr}^{0.06}0.04\text{O}_4]_2)</td>
<td>226.9</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Cation vacancy.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Magnetite is the iron-rich spinel phase occurring in the fresh and altered rock and in the soil-sand and -silt fractions. The in-field Mössbauer spectrum revealed that a small proportion of \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) is not involved in the fast charge transfer in the octahedral site in partially-oxidized magnetite of altered rock and soil-silt fraction.

2. Hematite is detected as an associated mineral in the altered rock and in the sand and silt soil fractions.

3. Nearly stoichiometric and well crystallized magnetite is transformed into hematite during pedogenesis, through progressive oxidation of structural \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) to \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\), in a mineralogenesis pathway markedly different from that observed in mafic systems, where the transformation of the highly substituted magnetite into hematite occurs via maghemite formation.

4. Structural formulae of magnetite with different degrees of non-stoichiometry are proposed, based on relative site populations of the spinel, as given by in-field Mössbauer data.
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