Cooking quality of upland and lowland rice characterized by different methods
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Abstract

Rice cooking quality is usually evaluated by texture and stickiness characteristics using many different methods. Gelatinization temperature, amylose content, viscosity (Brookfield viscometer and Rapid Visco Analyzer), and sensory analysis were performed to characterize culinary quality of rice grains produced under two cropping systems and submitted to different technologies. All samples from the upland cropping system and two from the irrigated cropping system presented intermediate amylose content. Regarding stickiness, BR S Primavera, BR S Sertaneja, and BR S Tropical showed loose cooked grains. Irrigated cultivars presented less viscosity and were softer than upland cultivars. Upland grain samples had similar profile on the viscoamylograph curve, but the highest viscosity peaks were observed for BR S Alvorada, IRGA 417, and SCS BR S Piracema among the irrigated cropping system samples. In general, distinct grain characteristics were observed between upland and irrigated samples by cluster analysis. The majority of the upland cultivars showed soft and loose grains with adequate cooking quality confirmed by sensory tests. Most of the irrigated cultivars, however, presented soft and sticky grains. Different methodologies allowed to improve the construction of the culinary profile of the varieties studied.
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1 Introduction

Rice is grown in many countries all over the world and in addition to its social importance it plays an important role in human nutrition as well as in agricultural economics. Nowadays, consumers are very concerned with milling and cooking quality. Today, there is a large number of varieties and more are being developed to enhance agronomic and technological quality in order to meet consumer demands. According to Guimarães et al. (2006), rice (Oryza sativa L.) is a hydrophilic species adapted to a large number of ecosystems. In Brazil, there are two cropping ecosystems: lowland, irrigated with controlled water flow, and upland rainfield with or without supplementary irrigation. Differences between these systems have induced variability in rice plant characteristics leading to genotype adaptation. Nowadays, in our globalized world, rice grain quality must be continuously enhanced, identified, and divulged. This is the way to survive in a more demanding and competitive market.

In Brazil, there were great differences in rice quality among varieties from irrigated and upland cropping ecosystems, but with the release of new cultivars from breeding programs, those differences have become more tenuous. According to Ferreira et al. (2005), rice from both systems will play important role in complementing each other with a degree...
Cooking quality of rice characterized by different methods

of competitiveness not restricted to upland and lowland rice quality only, but also to the degree of organization of the production chain.

Consumer preference is based on the evaluation of quality attributes, which is determined not only by chemical and physical properties of grains, but also by aspects related to the appearance of the product after cooking, such as stickiness and texture (softness or fluffiness). The cooking and textural properties are largely dependent on the chemical composition of cultivars rather than on their physical characteristics (MOHAPATRA; BAL, 2006). Amylose content has been considered one of the most important characteristics in cooking behavior (XIE et al., 2007; ONG; BLANSHARD, 1995a).

Embrapa Rice and Beans is responsible for national rice enhancement programs, and it is conducting breeding projects to increase yield and grain quality. Rice quality is evaluated by testing physical and chemical characteristics, but with regard to the rice breeding program, only milling, market and consumer preference are evaluated as a routine.

Considering that the number of rice lines to be evaluated in the breeding program in a three-month period is very large (around 25,000), researchers are able to perform procedures that respond to some indirect quality indicators only.

The purpose of our study was to apply different tools in grain quality evaluation to characterize milled rice cooking quality in grains harvested from lowland and upland cropping systems and to find divergences or common traits between ten accesses by grouping them according to similarity based on the Ward's clustering method.

2 Materials and methods

The experiments were conducted from August to November 2006. The upland cultivars tested were: BRS Primavera, BRS Pepita, BRS Monarca, BRS Sertaneja, and BRS MG Curinga. The irrigated genotypes were: BRS 01305, BRS Tropical, BRS Alvorada, IRGA 417, and BRS SCS Piracema. The upland cultivars were grown at the Capivara farm in Santo Antonio de Goiás, State of Goiás, Brazil, and harvested on April 24, 2006. Those from the irrigated system were cultivated at Palmital Farm, in Goianira, State of Goiás, Brazil, and harvested on May 5, 2006. After drying, the rice samples were shelled and milled with a rice machine (Suzuki model MT).

The sensory analysis for the texture and stickiness attributes were based on two methods: a) cooking test based on small sample and six trained panelists evaluation using the structured scale method (verbal & bipolar: 1 - Extremely soft, 7 - Extremely hard; 1 - Extremely loose, 7 - Extremely Sticky). To improve efficiency, three replicates were used for each of the two attributes. The samples were prepared according to the methodology suggested by Lima et al. (2006) using 6 g of rice cooked in Petri dishes for 30 minutes in 18 mL of distilled water, under vapor condition, in a semi-industrial pan (Golden Kitchen Baspra); b) cooking test simulating typical consumer rice preparation according to the methodology used in routine analysis at Embrapa Rice and Beans, which was adapted from Martinéz and Cuevas (1989): 570 mL of distilled water, 2 g of salt, and 60 mL of soybean cooking oil were added to 300 g of rice and let to cook for 20 minutes, approximately. The rice stickiness was evaluated right after cooking, whereas texture was analyzed 30 minutes after cooking.

GT was estimated according to the methodology developed by Martinéz and Cuevas (1989) and adapted by Embrapa Rice and Beans using 1.7% KOH as the alkali reagent and the following rice controls: Colombia 1 (high GT), Bluebonnet 50 (intermediate GT), and IR 8 (low GT).

The AAC test was determined through the iodometric technique following the methodology developed by Martinéz and Cuevas (1989) and adapted by Embrapa Rice and Beans using a solution of iodine/potassium iodate as the indicator and a commercial potato amylose as standard. The complex formed was measured at the visible wavelength $\lambda = 620$ nm using a spectrophotometer. The following standard samples were used for comparison: Colômbia 1 (low AAC), Bluebonnet 50 (intermediate AAC), and IR 8 (high AAC).

The viscosity was evaluated based on the performance of BRS Primavera, which is considered a reference for upland rice quality (MORAIS et al., 2003), and it was used in a preliminary test to set the rheological conditions of analysis. Firstly, 50 g of rice were cooked in 200 mL of distilled water for 10 minutes, and then it was mixed to different proportions of water to reach an adequate torque value between 10 and 90 % during a viscosity test making use of a Brookfield Viscometer (BROOKFIELD, 2006). As a result of this optimization, the ideal proportion was obtained with 72 mL of distilled water to 20 g of cooked rice that was homogenized using a domestic mixer (Black & Decker, model SB 40). The rheological measures were obtained according to the methodology described in the instruction manual of the Brookfield digital DV-II+ (with a small sample adapter and spindle SC4-18).

The RVA (Rapid Visco Analyzer 4, Newport Scientific PTY LTD, Sydney, Australia) analysis was performed to obtain the viscoamyllographic curve of the rice starch. To perform this test, crude samples were weighed (50 g), identified, and sent to Embrapa Food Technology. The methodology described by AACC (AMERICAN..., 2000) was followed.

The sensory analysis for the texture and stickiness attributes were based on two methods: a) cooking test based on small sample and six trained panelists evaluation using the structured scale method (verbal & bipolar: 1 - Extremely soft, 7 - Extremely hard; 1 - Extremely loose, 7 - Extremely Sticky). To improve efficiency, three replicates were used for each of the two attributes. The samples were prepared according to the methodology suggested by Lima et al. (2006) using 6 g of rice cooked in Petri dishes for 30 minutes in 18 mL of distilled water, under vapor condition, in a semi-industrial pan (Golden Kitchen Baspra); b) cooking test simulating typical consumer rice preparation according to the methodology used in routine analysis at Embrapa Rice and Beans, which was adapted from Martinéz and Cuevas (1989): 570 mL of distilled water, 2 g of salt, and 60 mL of soybean cooking oil were added to 300 g of rice and let to cook for 20 minutes, approximately. The rice stickiness was evaluated right after cooking, whereas texture was analyzed 30 minutes after cooking.
Data analyses were performed using SAS 9.1 (2003) (SAS institute Inc., Cary, NC). Mean comparisons between cultivars and tasters for texture and stickiness characteristics were performed using the Tukey Test at 5% level of probability. The cultivars were clustered using the Ward’s clustering method (WARD, 1963 apud OLIVEIRA, 2008), which consisted of data clustering with the minimum standard deviation between the values of each group.

3 Results and discussion

Physicochemical and sensory analysis may give reliable subsidies to describe the sensory, cooking, and processing characteristics of rice cultivars. The samples from different cultivating cropping systems were analyzed for different quality parameters individually or together whenever possible. The results are shown in Table 1.

All cultivars from the upland ecosystem presented intermediate GT corresponding to a range of 69 to 73 °C. Similar results were found by Fonseca et al. (2004) for cultivar BRS MG Curinga and by Ferreira and Pinheiro (2003) for cultivar BRS Primavera, both upland rice cultivars. According to Santos et al. (1999), cultivars with intermediate GT showed a good culinary behavior; and consequently it became a requirement for the release of new cultivars in Brazil. According to these authors, when a rice variety presents high gelatinization temperature, it means that its grains need more water and time to cook, whereas the cultivars with intermediate or low gelatinization temperatures need less water and time to be cooked resulting less energy input and expense. Cultivars from the irrigated ecosystem showed low GT, except for BRS Alvorada. The low GT genotypes, BRS 01305, BRS Tropical, IRGA 417, and SCS BRS Piracema showed good capability of water absorption; therefore they are expected to cook faster with little water volume and to release soft grains with a tendency to stickiness if overcooked. IRGA 417 is a standard lowland cultivar for the state of Rio Grande do Sul in the South Brazil, and its low GT characteristic was also described by Fagundes et al. (2004).

All samples from the upland and two from the irrigated ecosystems presented intermediate AAC (between 23 and 27%), and three others from the irrigated system showed high AAC (between 28 and 33%) according to the “Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical” (CIAT) amylose classification scale (MARTINEZ; CUEVAS, 1989). Those grains tend to become hard after cooking with a high probability of retrogradation.

Considering that the intermediate amylose content is a desirable trait for the Brazilian rice market (VIEIRA, 2004), the majority of the studied samples showed ideal stickiness and texture characteristics with fluffy and soft cooked rice grains. According to Pereira and Rangel (2001), low amylose rice tends to be soft and sticky in comparison to the soft and fluffy intermediate amylose rice. Similar results were found by Ferreira and Pinheiro (2003) for the upland rice grain quality standard, BRS Primavera.

It is important to emphasize that the existing data about amylose content are expressed as “apparent” (JULIANO, 1993) without taking into account the interference of iodine-amylopectin complex in the test, which raises doubt about the adequacy of such method in assisting a rice breeding program once the values obtained for amylose could be overestimated. The different amylopectin structures may explain why rice that possesses similar amylose contents can have different textural properties (ONG; BLANSHARD, 1995a; CHAMPAGNE et al., 1999). However, this property can be related to the intrinsic characteristic of the studied cultivars that influences the structural arrangement of starch leading to differences in texture. Another hypothesis may be associated to the presence of a greater area of amorphous regions in starch granules. Rice cooking may promote dispersion of the crystallites in the starch granule and facilitate interactions with the longer chain components. Therefore, it is possible to detect more subtle differences in rice texture (ONG; BLANSHARD, 1995b).

The BRS Primavera showed average values of 25.60 cP at 50 rpm for pasta viscosity. Hence, in the present work, it was defined that cultivars with viscosity values above 25.60 cP have a tendency to be more viscous when compared to those considered standard; they should absorb more water and become harder after cooking. Among the highland cultivars, BRS Pepita had the highest viscosity value and its rice pasta absorbed more water than the others and was stickier. When cultivars from both cropping systems were compared, those

Table 1. Physicochemical, cooking and sensory analysis results of rice cultivars from two cropping systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cropping systems</th>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>Sensory analysis</th>
<th>Cooking test</th>
<th>RVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>GT</td>
<td>Viscosity (cP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upland</td>
<td>BRS Primavera</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>25,60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRS Pepita</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>40,39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRS Monarca</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>30,07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRS Sertaneja</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>30,11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRS MG Curinga</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>24,28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigated</td>
<td>BRS 01305</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>20,71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRS Tropical</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>23,85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRS Alvorada</td>
<td>ES-SO</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>23,98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IRGA 417</td>
<td>ES-SO</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>19,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCS BRS Piracema</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>22,58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Texture (S-Soft; E-Extremely soft; H-Hard), Stickiness (LO-Loose; SL-Slightly loose; S-Sticky; V-very sticky; ES-Slightly sticky). 2Apparent amylose content (I-Intermediate; H-High); 3Gelatinization Temperature (I-Intermediate; Lw-Low); 4Setback (Difference between final and maximum viscosity); 5Breakdown (Difference between maximum and minimum viscosity).
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When analyzing the breakdown RVA mean values (structure breakage and liquefying phase), a similar behavior was observed between the upland rice cultivars, but the lowest ones were obtained for BRS Primavera, BRS Monarc and BRS Sertaneja MG Curinga suggesting the existence of a more fragile starch structure with a molecular organization that collapses fast.

The RVA curves is one of the most useful tools for rapidly and reproducibly assigning the different rice cooking quality classes, but they are probably underutilized because the biological and rheological contributions of starch and protein polymers and lipids to forming the curve are unknown. Hence, understanding how the curve is formed is the first step in determining how the parameters of the curve can be translated into sensory or processing attributes (FITZGERALD et al., 2003).

In the cultivars from the irrigated cropping system, the highest viscosity peaks were obtained with BRS Alvorada, IRGA 417, and SCS BRS Piracema; however, IRGA 417 showed intermediate GT.

Based on the RVA profile characteristics, the starch from BRS Tropical started the gelatinization process around 90 °C, which was the highest value when compared to other lowland genotypes, but it presented the lowest maximum viscosity (70,50 cP) value. The three highest maximum viscosity cultivars also presented the highest final viscosities and setback values indicating higher tendencies to retrogradation and consequent

When analyzing the breakdown RVA mean values (structure breakage and liquefying phase), a similar behavior was observed between the upland rice cultivars, but the lowest ones were obtained for BRS Primavera, BRS Monarc and BRS Sertaneja MG Curinga suggesting the existence of a more fragile starch structure with a molecular organization that collapses fast.

The RVA curves is one of the most useful tools for rapidly and reproducibly assigning the different rice cooking quality classes, but they are probably underutilized because the biological and rheological contributions of starch and protein polymers and lipids to forming the curve are unknown. Hence, understanding how the curve is formed is the first step in determining how the parameters of the curve can be translated into sensory or processing attributes (FITZGERALD et al., 2003).

In the cultivars from the irrigated cropping system, the highest viscosity peaks were obtained with BRS Alvorada, IRGA 417, and SCS BRS Piracema; however, IRGA 417 showed intermediate GT.

Based on the RVA profile characteristics, the starch from BRS Tropical started the gelatinization process around 90 °C, which was the highest value when compared to other lowland genotypes, but it presented the lowest maximum viscosity (70,50 cP) value. The three highest maximum viscosity cultivars also presented the highest final viscosities and setback values indicating higher tendencies to retrogradation and consequent
higher AAC expected values; this was only observed for BRS Alvorada (high AAC). Ong and Blanshard (1995b) showed that the rice samples hardness after cooking was correlated with the RVA setback values. The lower the setback values, the lower the retrogradation and the higher the expected amylopectin content. However, Champagne et al. (1999) did not find a correlation between the texture profile of cooked rice by the sensory trained panel and the one that resulted from RVA. BRA 0135 and BRS Tropical showed lower final viscosities and setback values in a proportional relationship to maximum viscosity (which is expected). Nonetheless, the chemical test indicated higher AAC values. These cultivars also scored the lowest breakdown values demonstrating their ability to rapidly disorganize their starch structure to imitate retrogradation during the cooling process.

With regard to rice preparation, the cooking test is a very common tool adopted by Brazilian rice breeding programs to classify rice quality because it reflects the cooking profile of the product obtained by housewives. However, it does not always correlate with physicochemical tests and should be better standardized once its procedure faces some uncontrolled varying water amount and temperature conditions and depends on the operator evaluation, which makes it a very subjective test. On the other hand, when the texture of a sample is analyzed after being cooled to room temperature, it can be affected by a starch retrogradation process that might take place depending on the starch molecular arrangement and amyllose/amylopectin proportion (CHUNG et al., 2008), which is also tightly related to genetic variety.

Stickness and texture mean values obtained from the sensory test were compared using the Tukey Test at 5% level of probability. The data presented in Table 2 indicate that the cultivars from both cropping systems do not present texture differences and are all fluffy. With regard to the attribute stickiness, BRS Primavera and BRS Sertaneja were significantly softer than the others, and BRS Alvorada, BRS MG Curinga, and BRA 01305 were stickier, also differing from the others.

BRS Alvorada showed different stickiness characteristics in relation to the other cultivars from the same cropping system, and it was very sticky. The IRGA 417 had similar characteristics to the cultivars from the irrigated cropping system; it was slightly fluffy and sticky.

The Tukey test was used to analyze the sensory panel evaluation pointed out differences among the sensory scores obtained from different panelists (Table 3). Despite the general tendency among the panelists to be consistent in scoring texture, the statistical mean comparison evidenced a significantly different score given by the forth panelist. According to Della Modesta et al. (2002), differences among panelists could be attributed to psychological factors affecting sensorial perception. They also stated that a panel of trained panelists has other responsibilities besides their participation in the sensory analysis, and it is necessary to find ways to keep their interest and motivation during the evaluation program.

The advantage of performing a descriptive sensory analysis using the structured scale is the possibility of applying statistical analysis on a small number of samples in less time.

In general, varieties from the upland cropping system had different physicochemical and culinary behavior from the lowland cultivars. Through the Ward’s method, it was possible to generate clusters with well defined regions to minimize internal differences in each group allowing the observation of proximities of cultivars with similar characteristics with a clear panorama of the studied traits, as can be seen in Figure 3a. In the general cluster analysis, in which all quality parameters studied were considered, we could observe the presence of two main groups: highland and lowland; however, in a deeper analyses, the upland cultivar BRS Sertaneja presented the closest behavior to the reference BRS Primavera, whereas for the lowland system, the BRS Alvorada was the most similar to IRGA 417 profile in terms of general grain quality. Sensory analysis (Figure 3b) data indicated larger differences between the samples, and it is considered a more sensitive method. As can be noticed, the cultivars BRS Primavera and BRS Sertaneja were grouped together by the sensory analyses as well as BRS Pepita, SCS BRS Piracema, and the rice breeding line BRA 01305. On the other hand, the same clusters were not exactly formed when submitting RVA data to the Ward’s method, which might be related to other starch characteristics that influence grain quality regarding special interests or industry preference.

The rice breeding program has released the BRS Sertaneja to gradually replace BRS Primavera at the Brazilian market. That cultivar gathers the desired agronomical and grain quality

### Table 2. Comparison of texture and stickiness of cooked rice genotypes according to sensory analyses.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cropping system</th>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Stickness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upland</td>
<td>BRS Primavera</td>
<td>2.22 SO</td>
<td>3.67 LO-SL 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRS Pepita</td>
<td>2.16 SO</td>
<td>5.11 Stb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRS Monarca</td>
<td>2.11 SO</td>
<td>4.72 SL-Stb 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRS Sertaneja</td>
<td>2.00 SO</td>
<td>3.67 LO-SL 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRS MG Curinga</td>
<td>2.28 SO</td>
<td>6.05 VSb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRA 01305</td>
<td>2.00 SO</td>
<td>5.00 St 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigated</td>
<td>BRS Tropical</td>
<td>2.11 SO</td>
<td>4.33 SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRS Alvorada</td>
<td>1.83 ES-SO</td>
<td>6.11 VS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IRGA 417</td>
<td>1.94 ES-SO</td>
<td>4.83 SL-Stb 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCS BRS Piracema</td>
<td>2.05 SO</td>
<td>5.11 Stb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Means with different letters in the column are significantly different according to Tukey Test at 5%. (p < 0.05); ES-Extremely soft; SO- Soft; LO-Loose; SL-Slightly loose; St-Sticky; VS-Very sticky.

### Table 3. Comparison of rice texture and stickiness classification among panelists.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panelist</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Stickness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.97 ES-SO</td>
<td>4.90 SL-Stb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.03 SO</td>
<td>5.00 Stb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.97 ES-SO</td>
<td>4.77 SL-Stb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.60 SO-SO</td>
<td>5.00 Stb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.90 ES-SO</td>
<td>4.30 SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.97 ES-SO</td>
<td>5.20 St</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Means followed by different letters, in the column are significantly different according to Tukey Test at the 5% level of probability (p < 0.05); ES- Extremely soft; SO- Soft; SS- Slightly soft; SL- Slightly loose; St- Sticky.
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Sertaneja, both considered reference in terms of grain quality among upland cultivars. These results may be explained by the distinguished precision and sensitivity observed in the sensory test involving the human factor, as well as to the gelatinization and viscosity aspects emphasized by the RVA in opposition to chemical tests such as AAC and GT that reveal indirect cooking patterns subjected to misinterpretations. Other factors that

characteristics (BRESEGHELLO et al., 2006) confirmed by the sensory grouping tests.

With the exception of AAC and GT analyses, IRGA 497, a standard cultivar for grain quality in southern Brazil (FAGUNDES et al., 2004) demonstrated a very different behavior in cluster analyses involving general evaluation, sensory tests, and RVA compared to BRS Primavera and BRS Sertaneja, both considered reference in terms of grain quality among upland cultivars. These results may be explained by the distinguished precision and sensitivity observed in the sensory test involving the human factor, as well as to the gelatinization and viscosity aspects emphasized by the RVA in opposition to chemical tests such as AAC and GT that reveal indirect cooking patterns subjected to misinterpretations. Other factors that

Figure 3. Upland and lowland rice cultivars clustering by Ward’s method for grain quality parameters: general analysis, sensory analysis, amylase and gelatinization temperature contents, and RVA analysis.

were not considered in the indirect tests could have influenced the test results, such as genotype × environment interactions, in which prevalent field ambient conditions may interfere in post harvest cooking behavior. The water availability during grain production, for instance, greatly influences starch granule swelling during panicle formation. Samples from irrigated rice may require differentiated cooking patterns to exhibit the desired culinary behavior, such as decreased water amounts and cooking time, to fully adopt their quality profile. This is especially important for samples presenting low GT, in which faster starch hydration and shorter cooking time are expected. These rice cultivars may be easily overcooked becoming more viscous and stickier. Analytical tools available for rice grain evaluation are not always efficient to discriminate against differences in grain cooking behavior observed in the samples from different cropping systems or within the same system.

4 Conclusions

The studied rice varieties from the upland cropping system showed good culinary quality with soft and fluffy cooked grains. On the other hand, most of the lowland rice cultivars presented soft and sticky cooked grains. It is important to consider different responses or information in order to better evaluate the cooking quality of an individual rice variety or a group of rice varieties from the same production system.
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