SPRAY DRYING OF GRAPE JUICE FROM HYBRID CV. BRS VIOLETA: MICROENCAPSULATION OF ANTHOCYANINS USING PROTEIN/MALTODEXTRIN BLENDS AS DRYING AIDS
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ABSTRACT

Grape juice contains high amounts of anthocyanins, with great potential for substituting synthetic food dyes. Carrier agents used in spray drying entraps anthocyanins, allowing their preservation. This work appraised whey protein/maltodextrin (WM) and soy protein/maltodextrin (SM) blends as alternative carriers for spray drying of grape juice and encapsulation of anthocyanins. The effects of carrier agent concentration (CAC) and ratio protein/carryer agent (R) on grape juice powder properties were evaluated. The grape juice powders presented good solubility, low water content and high anthocyanin retention. WM blends resulted in higher yields and higher anthocyanin retention (from 77.9 to 94%) than SM blends, whereas SM blends leaded to higher encapsulation efficiency (>97%). Increasing CAC and R resulted in brighter powders, but reconstituted juices presented color parameters similar to those of fresh juice. WM and SM were suitable for encapsulating anthocyanins of grape juice, resulting in powders with potential applications in food industry.

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

The grape cultivar BRS violeta contains high levels of anthocyanins and is an alternative to produce antioxidant-rich and highly colored grape juice. Spray drying is applied for producing powdered grape juice with high anthocyanin content. In this technique, the addition of whey and soy proteins blended with maltodextrin as carrier agents avoid problems such as stickiness, which is negative to process yield and product quality. Moreover, the use of carrier agents in spray drying promotes the microencapsulation of bioactive compounds, allowing their protection and preservation during processing and storage. The grape juice powder from cv. BRS Violeta can be applied in the food industry as a potential substitute for synthetic food dyes, in addition to being a promising additive for incorporating anthocyanins into functional foods.

INTRODUCTION

Grapes and their derived products are important natural sources of phenolic compounds. EMBRAPA Grape & Wine (Brazilian Corporation of Agricultural Research, Unit Grape & Wine) developed the hybrid cultivar BRS Violeta (“BRS Rubea” × “IAC 1398-21”) in 2006. This cultivar contains high levels of anthocyanins and is an alternative to produce highly colored and antioxidant-rich grape juice, as well as dehydrated products with potential use as dietary supplements (Camargo et al. 2005). Rebello et al. (2013) found 3,950 mg of anthocyanins/kg of grape BRS Violeta (expressed as malvidin 3.5-diglucoside equivalents).

Powdered fruit juices are good alternatives of convenient and healthy ingredients to formulated foods. Powdered juices rich in natural pigments are potential substitutes for synthetic food dyes, allowing their use with double
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Maltodextrins and gum Arabic are drying adjuvants in spray drying of fruit juices (Telis and Martínez-Navarrete 2012). Maltodextrins are inexpensive, have widespread use in foods, possess low viscosity at high solid content, and good solubility. Gum Arabic, despite the suitable properties that have facilitated its extensive use as carrier agent, is an expensive ingredient with availability and costs subjected to fluctuation, which have motivated research for alternative encapsulation matrices (Madene et al. 2006). Whey and soy proteins are widely available, natural ingredients with high nutritional value. They have good properties for encapsulation, such as emulsification, solubility, film forming, and water binding capacity (Molina Ortiz et al. 2009; Bastos et al. 2012).

The efficacy of maltodextrin as drying adjuvant is due to its rapid film forming property and low water diffusivity in these films. On the other hand, proteins form smooth and non-sticky films much earlier than maltodextrin, and powder recovery is higher when a small amount of protein is added to the spray dried solution (Adhikari et al. 2009). Maltodextrin/protein blends favor protection of bioactive compounds and present good drying properties (Nesterenko et al. 2013a). Fang and Bhandari (2012) observed that a small amount of whey protein (1%) is required to dry fruit juices, while large amounts of maltodextrin (>30%) are needed for the same purpose, pointing out that addition of large carrier amounts increases cost and may alter product flavor and taste.

Microencapsulation of anthocyanins using maltodextrins (Tonon et al. 2010; Ferrari et al. 2012), whey proteins (Fang and Bhandari 2012) and soy proteins (Robert et al. 2010) has

Table 1. Sample Formulations Corresponding to the Experimental Design and Respective Results of Process Yield, Solubility, Anthocyanin Retention and Encapsulation Efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample*</th>
<th>CAC†</th>
<th>R (％)‡</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Solubility (%)</th>
<th>Anthocyanin retention (%)</th>
<th>EE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1WM</td>
<td>0.25 (−1)</td>
<td>10 (−1)</td>
<td>41.47</td>
<td>92.91</td>
<td>80.01</td>
<td>62.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2WM</td>
<td>0.25 (−1)</td>
<td>30 (+1)</td>
<td>57.22</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>69.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3WM</td>
<td>0.75 (+1)</td>
<td>10 (−1)</td>
<td>75.48</td>
<td>96.96</td>
<td>83.61</td>
<td>78.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4WM</td>
<td>0.75 (+1)</td>
<td>30 (+1)</td>
<td>74.59</td>
<td>91.91</td>
<td>91.31</td>
<td>80.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5WM</td>
<td>0.15 (−1.41)</td>
<td>20 (0)</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>96.13</td>
<td>77.89</td>
<td>84.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6WM</td>
<td>0.85 (−1.41)</td>
<td>20 (0)</td>
<td>74.75</td>
<td>93.96</td>
<td>94.04</td>
<td>81.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7WM</td>
<td>0.5 (0)</td>
<td>5.86 (−1.41)</td>
<td>75.58</td>
<td>96.51</td>
<td>86.67</td>
<td>68.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8WM</td>
<td>0.5 (0)</td>
<td>34.14 (+1.41)</td>
<td>74.25</td>
<td>92.72</td>
<td>83.66</td>
<td>72.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9WM</td>
<td>0.5 (0)</td>
<td>20 (0)</td>
<td>74.27</td>
<td>93.33</td>
<td>88.86</td>
<td>72.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10WM</td>
<td>0.5 (0)</td>
<td>20 (0)</td>
<td>72.58</td>
<td>94.68</td>
<td>85.54</td>
<td>78.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15M</td>
<td>0.75 (−1)</td>
<td>10 (−1)</td>
<td>49.31</td>
<td>94.25</td>
<td>70.67</td>
<td>97.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25M</td>
<td>0.75 (−1)</td>
<td>30 (+1)</td>
<td>48.93</td>
<td>91.26</td>
<td>58.49</td>
<td>97.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35M</td>
<td>1.25 (+1)</td>
<td>10 (−1)</td>
<td>51.53</td>
<td>95.03</td>
<td>63.96</td>
<td>98.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45M</td>
<td>1.25 (+1)</td>
<td>30 (+1)</td>
<td>51.74</td>
<td>88.69</td>
<td>63.82</td>
<td>99.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55M</td>
<td>0.65 (−1.41)</td>
<td>20 (0)</td>
<td>49.41</td>
<td>91.21</td>
<td>62.42</td>
<td>97.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65M</td>
<td>1.35 (−1.41)</td>
<td>20 (0)</td>
<td>47.68</td>
<td>88.92</td>
<td>67.46</td>
<td>99.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75M</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>5.86 (−1.41)</td>
<td>55.40</td>
<td>94.93</td>
<td>71.61</td>
<td>98.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85M</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>34.14 (+1.41)</td>
<td>56.12</td>
<td>87.43</td>
<td>63.33</td>
<td>97.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95M</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>20 (0)</td>
<td>54.25</td>
<td>91.47</td>
<td>64.12</td>
<td>97.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10SM</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>20 (0)</td>
<td>53.05</td>
<td>91.56</td>
<td>60.76</td>
<td>98.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† CAC: g of carrier agent/g of soluble solids of the juice; R (%): g dry protein/100 g dry carrier agent.
been investigated, however application of maltodextrin mixtures with whey or soy proteins as drying aids for anthocyanins has been barely reported. Based on these considerations, this study aimed to investigate the potential of maltodextrin blends with whey or soy proteins as carrier agents for encapsulation of grape juice anthocyanins by spray drying.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Materials

Fresh grapes (Vitis labrusca, cv. BRS Violeta) were provided by EMBRAPA Grape and Wine (Jales, Brazil). Maltodextrin DE-10 (Mor-Rex 1910, Corn Products, Brazil), whey protein concentrated (WPC) (WPC80, Alibra, Brazil) and soy protein isolate (SPI) (Supro 783, Tovani Benzaquen, Brazil) were the carrier agents.

Grape Juice Preparation

A batch of juice was processed from fresh grapes by steam extraction (60 min, 75–85°C), sieved (270 mesh) to remove potassium bitartrate crystals, and stored at −18°C until use. The juice had total solid content of 15.18 ± 0.02 g/100 g (w/w), 14.0 ± 0.1 °Brix, pH 3.84 ± 0.006, sugar 123.25 ± 17.77 g/L, acidity (% tartaric acid) of 0.60 ± 0.004, ash 0.43 ± 0.004 g/100 g (w/w), and 1,405.50 ± 3.74 mg/L total anthocyanins (Francis 1982).

Sample Preparation

Blends of WPC/maltodextrin (WM) and SPI/maltodextrin (SM) used as carriers were added to juice at different ratios of carrier agent concentration to soluble solids of juice (C.AC), and at different ratios of protein to total carrier agent (R). Experiments followed a 2² rotatable central composite design (Table 1).

Carriers were added to juice under agitation, until complete dissolution. The effects of CAC and R on yield, water content, solubility, anthocyanin retention, color and encapsulation efficiency of powdered juice were evaluated by response surface methodology, applying analysis of variance (ANOVA) at 5% probability.

Spray Drying

Spray drying was performed in a concurrent spray dryer (B-290, Büchi, Switzerland), with spray nozzle (orifice diameter = 0.7 mm), operating at: inlet air temperature = 140°C; feed flow rate = 2 mL/min; air flow rate = 500 L/h. The grape juice powders obtained were packed into metallized plastic bags. The bags were then stored in a desiccator containing silica gel until evaluation. Drying yield was calculated as the ratio between total solids recovered and solids present in juice before drying.

Characterization of Powdered Juice

Water Content. Powder water content was determined gravimetrically, drying samples in vacuum oven (70°C) until constant weight.

Solubility. Powder samples (1 g) were added to 100 mL of distilled water, agitated for 5 min, and centrifuged (3,000×g, 5 min). Aliquots (25 mL) of the supernatant were transferred to weighed Petri dishes and oven dried (105°C, 5 h). Solubility (%) was calculated as the weight difference (Cano-Chauca et al. 2005).

Anthocyanin Retention. Powder samples (0.05 g) were extracted with 95% ethanol/1.5 N HCl (85:15, v:v), vortexed for 5 min and brought to 50 mL with the extracting solution. Samples were protected from light and refrigerated (4°C, 12 h) (Francis 1982). Absorbance was measured in UV–vis spectrophotometer (SP-220, Biospectro) at 520 nm, and total anthocyanin content was calculated using molar absorbance [28,000 L/(mol cm)] and molar mass [493.5 g/mol] corresponding to malvidin-3-glucoside (Wrolstad 1993). Anthocyanin retention was calculated as the ratio between total anthocyanin content (mg/100 g of dry matter) in powder and in juice before drying.

Encapsulation Efficiency of Anthocyanins (EE). Powder samples (0.2 g) were washed with 10 mL of ethanol 99.5% to extract unencapsulated anthocyanins without capsule disruption (Nori et al. 2011). The mixture was stirred for one minute, filtered, and the supernatant was analyzed for anthocyanins as described in anthocyanin retention. Encapsulation efficiency was calculated as:

\[ EE \% = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{W_2} \] (1)

in which \( W_1 \) = anthocyanins in the supernatant and \( W_2 \) = total anthocyanins in the powder.

Color. CIELab coordinates (\( L^*, a^*, b^* \)) were measured using a Hunter Lab colorimeter (Color Flex EZ, The United States), with D65 illuminant and 10° observation angle. Hue angle \( (h^*) \), chroma \( (C^*) \) and total color differences \( (\Delta E^*) \) between fresh grape juice and powdered juice after reconstitution in water were calculated by Eqs. (2–4) (Telis and Martínez-Navarrete 2010).
TABLE 2. ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF THE MATHEMATICAL MODELS FOR YIELD (FOR SAMPLES PRODUCED WITH WM AND SM BLENDS) AND ENCAPSULATION EFFICIENCY (FOR SAMPLES PRODUCED WITH SM BLEND)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>Degrees of freedom</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>F calculated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yield WM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>4,494.09</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4494.09</td>
<td>70.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue</td>
<td>512.35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>64.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SS</td>
<td>5,006.44</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield SM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>61.14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>61.14</td>
<td>28.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue</td>
<td>16.97</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SS</td>
<td>78.11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE SM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>38.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SS</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Value $F_{critical} = 5.32$.

\[
h^* = \arctan\left(\frac{b^*}{a^*}\right)
\]

\[
C^* = \sqrt{(a^*)^2 + (b^*)^2}
\]

\[
\Delta E^* = \sqrt{(\Delta L^*)^2 + (\Delta a^*)^2 + (\Delta b^*)^2}
\]

For reconstitution, juice powder was mixed with distilled water keeping always the same proportion between solids coming from fresh juice and water. As fresh juice presented 14.8 Brix, the mass of powder was calculated to give a ratio of 14 g of solids from juice to 86 g of water.

**Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)**

Powder samples were attached to double-sided adhesive tape mounted on SEM stubs, covered with gold layer (9 nm) under vacuum, and observed in scanning electronic microscope (FEI - Inspect S50) working at 5 kV, with 1,000× and 5,000× of magnification.

**Particle Size Distribution**

Particle size distribution was analyzed by laser light scattering (LA-900, Horiba Instruments, Inc., Japan). Sample amount was adjusted to obtain refractive reading unit.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The drying yield, solubility, anthocyanin retention, and encapsulation efficiency of juice powders produced with different CAC and $R$ (Table 1) presented different behaviors for blends WM and SM, and the mathematical models obtained for each response were tested for adequacy and fitness using ANOVA only considering the significant terms ($P < 0.05$). The results for models describing yield (for samples WM and SM) and encapsulation efficiency (for samples SM) indicate that these were adequate, showing significant regression, low residual values, no lack of fit and satisfactory coefficients of determination (Table 2).

Despite all the responses evaluated had shown higher $F$-calculated values compared with critical values, the coefficients of determination for anthocyanin retention (in samples WM) and solubility (in samples WM and SM) were not satisfactory, hindering generation of predictive models and response surfaces.

**Yield**

Independently of the protein used, the carrier agent concentration (CAC) presented significant effect ($P < 0.05$) on drying yield (Tables 1 and 2), whereas the ratio of protein/total carrier agent ($R$) did not affect yield significantly.

In samples WM, values of CAC in the range of 0.5–0.85 g of carrier agent/g of soluble solids of juice resulted in the highest powder recovery, around 75% (Fig. 1).
proposed model to represent yield for blends WM (Eq. 5) showed $R^2$ of 0.89.

$$\text{Yield (}%)_{\text{WM}} = 75.78 + 19.08\text{CAC} - 16.80\text{CAC}^2 \quad (5)$$

According to Fang and Bhandari (2012), 30% of maltodextrin is a minimum amount to efficient spray drying (criteria of 50% powder recovery) of fruit juices. In the present study, using $\text{CAC} = 0.25$ (25% of carrier in relation to juice soluble solids) resulted in yield of 57% (Table 1), demonstrating that addition of a small amount of WPC to maltodextrin improved spray drying of grape juice. On the other hand, the lowest level of carrier agent concentration ($\text{CAC} = 0.15$) resulted in very low yield (3.15%), which is not acceptable. Bustos-Garza et al. (2013) used whey protein to microencapsulate astaxanthin oleoresin and obtained yield of 63%, which was lower than that found in this study for WPC/maltodextrin blends.

Powder losses during drying may have resulted from a combination of powder deposition on dryer chamber, which commonly occurs in sugar-rich foods due to stickiness, as well as from pumping out of fine particles through the dryer filter (Fang et al. 2013).

Using blends SM resulted in yields varying from 47.5 to 56.1%. Using $\text{CAC} = 1$, independently of the SPI/total carrier agent ratio, resulted in the highest yield. When using higher or lower values of CAC, powder recovery decreased. These results indicate that, for the drying conditions applied in this study, the optimal CAC for blends SM was 1 g of carrier agent/g of soluble solids of grape juice. Nesterenko et al. (2012), using soy protein in the microencapsulation of astaxanthin oleoresin and obtained yield of 83%, which was greater than the maximum yield found in this study. The obtained model (Eq. 6) was also suitable for prediction of yield using blends SM ($R^2 = 0.78$).

$$\text{Yield (}%)_{\text{SM}} = 54.37 - 3.30\text{CAC}^2 \quad (6)$$

**Water Content**

Powders obtained with blends WM showed water contents from 0.79 to 5.26% (dry basis), whereas for blends SM moisture varied from 0.60 to 2.86% (dry basis). For both carrier blends, water content of juice powder was not affected by CAC or $R$, and most of formulations resulted in water contents lower than 5%, a characteristic limit to water content proposed for spray dried products (Fang et al. 2013).

**Solubility**

Grape juice dried with protein/maltodextrin blends presented high solubility. In samples WM powder solubility varied from 92 to 97%, while for blend SM it was slightly lower, ranging from 87 to 95% (Table 1). Using maltodextrin as carrier, Cano-Chauca et al. (2005) also noted high solubility of powderd mango juice (90%).

Powder solubility was not influenced by CAC, which is in agreement with Kha et al. (2010) that studied production of gac powder using maltodextrin. According to Phisut (2012), increasing maltodextrin concentration did not reduce powder solubility. This effect may be explained by the high water solubility of maltodextrin. Yousefi et al. (2011) reported that solubility is strongly affected by carrier type and, in some cases, by carrier concentration.

Powder solubility was negatively influenced by increasing R, although the obtained models were not predictive. Nevertheless, reduction in solubility was small and all systems showed good characteristics of rehydration. Frequently, the functional properties of proteins are limited by their relatively poor solubility, particularly close to the isoelectric point (pI). Whey protein has pI at pH near 5.0 (Duongthingoc et al. 2013) and soy protein near 4.5 (Wang et al. 2010).

**Anthocyanin Retention**

Anthocyanin retention was greatly affected by the protein used with maltodextrin (Table 1). In samples WM, the retention was higher, varying from 77.9 to 94%, whereas powders produced with blends SM retained between 58.5 and 71.6% of anthocyanins present in the juice before drying. Nayak and Rastogi (2010) reported similar values to anthocyanin retention using maltodextrin as drying aid (65.1–79.8%).

Despite mathematical models obtained for anthocyanin retention could not be considered predictive due to low coefficient of determination, in samples WM there was a trend that larger values of CAC resulted in greater anthocyanin retention. On the other hand, in blends SM, CAC did not affect the pigment retention. The ratio $R$ did not influence anthocyanin retention either for WPC or for SPI.

In samples dried with SM, even using higher values of CAC in relation to blends WM, there was lower anthocyanin retention. The solid level is an important parameter influencing core retention in encapsulated systems. This influence could be explained by reduction of core molecule mobility when entrapped in the wall material, in addition to lower time needed to form the protective shell, both induced by higher solid content. However, over a critical solid concentration an abrupt increase in viscosity may occur, leading to significant fall in encapsulation efficiency (Nesterenko et al. 2013b).
Encapsulation Efficiency

In general, both carrier blends resulted in adequate encapsulation of anthocyanins, although SM blends resulted in higher EE than WM blends, indicating better encapsulating ability of soy protein (Table 1). In samples WM, CAC and R did not significantly influence EE. Powders presented 62.8% ≤ EE ≤ 84.1%, demonstrating the fair ability of these carrier formulations to encapsulate anthocyanins of grape juice. Lim et al. (2012) reported EE of 90.12% for pitaya seed oil encapsulated with whey protein/maltodextrin. Millqvist-Fureby et al. (2001), using only WPC to stabilize emulsions of canola oil, found that EE decreased with increasing protein denaturation and observed that poor encapsulation may be due to less flexible protein structure after denaturation.

In samples SM, EE was higher, varying from 97.10 to 99.17%, increasing significantly \((P < 0.05)\) with increasing CAC (Fig. 2). The mathematical model (Eq. 7) obtained for EE showed \(R^2 = 0.83\), being predictive (Table 2). The ratio \(R\) in systems WM and SM did not affect EE of anthocyanins in powdered juice.

\[
\text{EE} \%_{\text{SM}} = 98.06 + 0.78 \text{CAC} \quad (7)
\]

Higher amounts of carrier result in faster polymer precipitation on the dispersed phase surface, preventing core diffusion across phase boundary. Furthermore, increasing viscosity of the solution delays core diffusion within polymer droplets (Jyothi et al. 2010). The excellent encapsulation of anthocyanins with higher concentrations of SM blend is consistent with the results of SEM, which indicated that increasing CAC resulted in formation of more spherical particles.

Using soy protein, Robert et al. (2010) observed that EE reached higher values for anthocyanins than polyphenol, showing the ability of this carrier to bind anthocyanins, which could be related to the flavylium cation of anthocyanins. Deng et al. (2014), using only soy protein or soy protein/starch blend, also found that EE varied considerably with composition of encapsulating materials. Robert et al. (2010) reported greater EE of anthocyanins using soy protein (35.8–100%) and maltodextrin (89.4–100%) as wall materials.

Color

Color characteristics of powdered juice were affected by CAC and by \(R\). The mathematical models obtained to \(L^*\), \(C^*\), and \(h^*\) for samples WM and SM were predictive according to ANOVA (Table 3). Surface plots based on generated models (Eqs. 8–13) are shown in Fig. 3.

Lightness \((L^*)\) was significantly affected \((P < 0.05)\) by both CAC and \(R\). The response models Eq. (8) with \(R^2 = 0.98\), and Eq. (9), with \(R^2 = 0.90\), to first and second model presented below, indicate that increasing CAC and \(R\) resulted in brighter powders (Fig. 3A and B). The increase in lightness is due to the dilution effect caused by carrier addition to juice, resulting in loss of color. Similar results were found to powdered juice of acai (Tonon et al. 2009), gac fruit (Kha et al. 2010) and blackberry (Ferrari et al. 2012), microencapsulated with maltodextrin. The juice dried with SM presented higher values of \(L^*\) (45.38–64.34) than WM (31.57–57.77), probably because of the largest amount of carrier.

\[
L^*_{\text{WM}} = 50.36 + 8.30 \text{CAC} - 2.96 \text{CAC}^2 + 2.29R \quad (8)
\]
\[
L^*_{\text{SM}} = 55.94 + 5.61 \text{CAC} + 2.55R \quad (9)
\]
\[
C^*_{\text{SM}} = 22.76 - 1.83 \text{CAC} - 1.58R \quad (10)
\]
\[
C^*_{\text{SM}} = 19.46 - 2.86 \text{CAC} - 2.03R \quad (11)
\]
\[
h^*_{\text{WM}} = 323.96 - 6.95 \text{CAC} - 3.28R \quad (12)
\]
\[
h^*_{\text{SM}} = 314.90 - 4.43 \text{CAC} - 4.70R \quad (13)
\]

Values of chroma (in the range of 19.23–26.73 for WM, and 13.72–24.77 for SM) and hue (varying from 313.1 to 335.8 for WM, and 305.7 to 324.3 for SM) of juice powder were located in the fourth quadrant of CIELab color chart, corresponding to purple color. Increasing values of CAC and \(R\) decreased \(C^*\) (Fig. 3C and D), indicating grayish chromaticity, whereas higher chroma values at low CAC and \(R\) demonstrate more saturated or vivid color. Similar findings were reported by Kha et al. (2010). Increasing CAC and \(R\) also led to decrease in \(h^*\) (Fig. 3E and F), which means that color became more blue-purple than red-purple. Comparison of \(h^*\) between powdered and fresh grape juice \((h^* = 355.8)\) shows that carrier addition resulted in lower...
hue angles and that this effect was more accentuated for blends SM than WM.

**Comparison Between Color of Powdered and Reconstituted Juices**

Considering that most applications of juice powder involve solubilization in water, it is relevant to evaluate the effects of CAC and $R$ on juice color after powder reconstitution. Four samples of juice powders, selected with basis on best global results for yield, anthocyanin retention and EE (Table 1), were reconstituted in water and had their color compared with fresh grape juice (Table 4). The $\Delta E^* < 1.5$ is considered small, indicating that the sample is almost identical to the original by visual observation. For $1.5 \leq \Delta E^* \leq 5$, the color difference can already be distinguished, and this difference becomes evident for $\Delta E^* > 5$ (Obón *et al.* 2009). The reconstituted samples 4WM and 6WM resulted in $\Delta E^* > 5$ (Table
4), indicating visual differences in color of reconstituted juice compared with fresh juice. Nevertheless, samples 3SM and 7SM resulted in $D_E^* < 5$, demonstrating that SM blends had lower influence on juice coloration, which may be attributed either to lower interference of SPI than WPC on reconstituted juice color or to better protection of anthocyanins by SPI than WPC during drying. Yousefi et al. (2011) stated that the coloring agent of pomegranate juice might have being absorbed into the carrier agent and being protected from severe damage during spray drying.

**Microstructure of Powder Particles**

Powders produced using WM blends showed much agglomeration (Fig. 4A), probably caused by stickiness. Particles were not spherical and some of them presented wrinkled surface, although there was no evidence of indentation or apparent pores. Sample 6WM, corresponding to the greatest CAC (0.85) and intermediate $R$ (20%), resulted in the most suitable morphology when compared with other WM blends.

Lim et al. (2012) used whey protein/maltodextrin blends as wall material and obtained much agglomeration. Using only whey protein, other authors found no well-defined, agglomerated microcapsules (Bustos-Garza et al. 2013), or particles with deep dents and surface wrinkles (Baranauskiené et al. 2006). Regarding SM blends, SEM micrographs showed particles not completely spherical (Fig. 4B), but with higher sphericity than WM blends. There was some agglomeration (Fig. 4C) but it was possible to observe individual particles, in addition to wrinkled surfaces.

Carrier concentration was decisive when using blends SM. Samples 1SM, 2SM, and 5SM, which had lower CAC, showed poor ability of particle formation, whereas samples 3SM (Fig. 4B), 4SM and 6SM, with higher CAC, resulted in the most suitable particles. The presence of protein contributed considerably to particle agglomeration: sample 8SM, with the highest $R$ (34.14%), resulted in higher agglomeration and presence of dents.

Ferrari et al. (2012) and Lim et al. (2012) found that particles produced using only maltodextrin as drying aid showed smooth surface. Thus, it is possible to hypothesize that the irregularities observed in particle surface are due to

<p>| TABLE 3. ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF THE MATHEMATICAL MODELS FOR $L^<em>$, $C^</em>$ AND $H^*$ FOR SAMPLES PRODUCED WITH WM AND SM BLENDS |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>Sum of squares (WM)</th>
<th>Degrees of freedom</th>
<th>Mean square (WM)</th>
<th>$F$ calculated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L^*$ Regression</td>
<td>643.16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>643.16</td>
<td>356.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue</td>
<td>14.43</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>71.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SS</td>
<td>657.59</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C^*$ Regression</td>
<td>46.87</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46.87</td>
<td>34.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>111.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SS</td>
<td>57.87</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h^*$ Regression</td>
<td>472.77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>472.77</td>
<td>287.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue</td>
<td>13.14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>259.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SS</td>
<td>485.91</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Value $F$ critical = 5.32.

<p>| TABLE 4. COLOR COMPARISON BETWEEN FRESH, POWDERED AND RECONSTITUTED GRAPE JUICES |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>CAC*</th>
<th>$R^7$ (%)</th>
<th>$L^*$</th>
<th>$a^*$</th>
<th>$b^*$</th>
<th>$L^*$</th>
<th>$a^*$</th>
<th>$b^*$</th>
<th>$ΔE^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Powdered juice</td>
<td>Reconstituted juice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4WM</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>57.12</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>-11.17</td>
<td>13.32</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>-8.36</td>
<td>15.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6WM</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>51.45</td>
<td>15.19</td>
<td>-10.31</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>-6.16</td>
<td>9.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SM</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>56.46</td>
<td>13.64</td>
<td>-14.22</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>-2.13</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7SM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>51.77</td>
<td>16.71</td>
<td>-11.99</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>-1.35</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh juice</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$CAC: g of carrier agent/g of soluble solids of the juice; $^7R$ (%): g dry protein/100 g dry carrier agent.
presence of proteins in carrier formulations. Gharsallaoui et al. (2010) noted that in protein/carbohydrate blends, proteins serve as emulsifying and film-forming agents, while polysaccharides act as matrix forming material.

**Particle Size**

The powder formulations selected for reconstitution and color evaluation (4WM, 6WM, 3SM and 7SM), were also analyzed regarding particle size distributions. Sample 4WM (CAC = 0.75; R = 30%) presented unimodal size distribution (Fig. 5A), with diameters between 2 and 30 μm, and average diameter of 11.57 μm. On the other hand, sample 6WM (CAC = 0.85; R = 20%) presented bimodal size distribution: the first peak included larger volume of particles (>8%), with diameters between 2 and 30 μm, similar to sample 4WM; the second peak enclosed lower volume of particles (<2%) with size between 50 and 400 μm. The presence of larger particles can be attributed to agglomeration, which was previously observed by SEM. Particles 6WM showed average diameter of 34 μm.

Using maltodextrin, Tonon et al. (2010) obtained average diameter of 10.08 μm to acai powder, whereas diameter of blackberry powder varied between 12.52 and 34.18 μm (Ferrari et al. 2012). Bastos et al. (2012), using whey protein isolated obtained smaller particles (6.17 μm). Carneiro et al. (2013), studying maltodextrin/WPC to spray drying flaxseed oil, observed wider size distribution (0.02–160.0 μm).

The 3SM particles (CAC = 1.25; R = 10%) presented unimodal size distribution, with diameters between 1 and 40 μm and average diameter of 12.49 μm (Fig. 5B). Particles 7SM (CAC = 1; R = 5.86%) presented bimodal size
distribution: the first peak, partially superimposed to the second one, included small particle volume (<2%) and small diameters, between 0.9 and 5 μm; the second peak presented greater volume (>9%) and large size range, from 5 to 250 μm.

Molina Ortiz et al. (2009), encapsulating casein hydrolysate by spray drying with SPI also found bimodal size distribution, but smaller particle size (11.32 μm).

CONCLUSIONS

The protein, WPC or SPI, used with maltodextrin to formulate carrier blends had different effects on properties of spray dried grape juice. Higher carrier concentrations resulted in higher yield and in higher particle sphericity, independently of the protein type. In addition, increasing carrier concentration, in general, led to better powder properties, such as increased anthocyanin retention with WPC/maltodextrin blends, and higher encapsulation efficiency with SPI/maltodextrin blends. Particles produced with WPC/maltodextrin presented some agglomeration, whereas SPI/maltodextrin resulted in particles that were more spherical, which could explain the higher encapsulation efficiency observed in these powders. Increasing carrier agent concentration and increasing ratio of protein/carrier agent resulted in brighter powders. Nevertheless, after reconstitution in water, the rehydrated juice presented color parameters similar to those of fresh juice, with better results for powders prepared with SPI/maltodextrin than WPC/maltodextrin. In conclusion, whey and soy proteins blended with maltodextrin helped spray drying of grape juice, resulting in good retention and good encapsulating efficiency of anthocyanins. Spray dried grape juice powders are a promising food additive for incorporating anthocyanins of BRS Violeta grape into functional foods as well as developing innovative and healthy food products.
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