ISSN: 1815-8846

© Medwell Journals, 2015

## Promoters Used in Genetic Transformation of Plants

<sup>1</sup>Renata Lucia Grunennvaldt, <sup>2</sup>Juliana Degenhardt-Goldbach, <sup>3</sup>Isabel Rodrigues Gerhardt and <sup>1</sup>Marguerite Quoirin <sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal do Parana, Curitiba, PR Brazil <sup>2</sup>Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR Brazil <sup>3</sup>UMIP GenClima, Campinas, SP Brazil

**Abstract:** The promoter is a DNA sequence that regulates the expression of a particular gene. Knowledge of the promoters used in gene constructs for genetic transformation is essential for successfully applying GM technology. Promoters can be classified as constitutive, inducible and organ/tissue specific. The use of inducible and organ/tissue specific promoters has gained importance, because of its extensive applicability. This review presents a summary of the different types of promoters with examples of some of them that have been identified and characterized for genetic transformation of various plant species.

Key words: Biotechnology, inducible promoter, specific promoter, organ/tissue, applicability

### INTRODUCTION

Conditions of biotic and abiotic stress in agricultural crops can cause large yield losses worldwide. However, progress in the generation of transgenic plants with increased tolerance to such stresses, has been slow. Heterogeneous conditions in the field, combined with abiotic stress and global climate change are just some of the challenges of modern agriculture (Mittler and Blumwald, 2010).

Genetic transformation opens new perspectives in breeding programs, expanding and providing new genes for certain characteristics imposed by sexual incompatibility or genetic variability (Sartoretto et al., 2008). The generation of genetically modified plants is a tool to achieve desirable characteristics in a breeding program highlighting the achievement of higher productivity and a lower impact on the environment. This technology also makes it possible to obtain plants that are more tolerant of different types of stress such as drought and cold and more resistant to diseases and pests. As indicated by Mittler and Blumwald (2010), a combination of innovative approaches that take into consideration the physiological and genetic basis of different cultures, the use of enzymes and proteins from other organisms and other tools of genetics and improvement will be necessary to significantly improve theplants' tolerance of external factors. Studies related to the identification and characterization of inducible and organ/tissue specific promoters are interesting to allow genetic manipulation and explore the genetic potential of several species of agronomic and forestry interest. The choice of the

promoter used to construct the transgene depends mainly on the intended goals of genetic transformation (Potenza *et al.*, 2004). Specific promoters may direct the expression of genes which confer resistance to pathogens in a directed manner (Twyman, 2003). In the case of toxins acting against pests, it is possible to limit gene expression to only onetarget organ of the plant, preventing the presence of toxins in the product that will be consumed by the population and also in other organs that are used in animal nutrition, thereby reducing the probability of affecting non-target organisms (Potenza *et al.*, 2004). For production of biopharmaceuticals, the use of organ-specific promoters is important to express the gene of interest in those organs that are able to produce the protein in an appropriate manner (Twyman *et al.*, 2003).

# IMPORTANCE OF PROMOTERS

The promoter is the central processor of regulation of a gene, since it contains binding sites for RNA polymerase and general transcription factors responsible for gene transcription. Transcription factors, in turn are activated under different situations such as endogenous (auxin, gibberellin, salicylic acid, jasmonic acid) and exogenous stimuli (light, pressure, humidity, temperature). The combination of promoter and transcription factors action determines the activation or repression of gene expression (Smale and Kadonaga, 2003).

For most genes encoding proteins, transcription initiation includes binding and activation of RNA polymerase II (Potenza *et al.*, 2004) this step being the

most regulated in gene expression. It is essentially controlled by the promoter region of the gene (Singh, 1998). In eukaryotes, the promoter region in general has a conserved sequence (T/A) A (A/T) at about 30 base pairs (bp) of the transcription initiation point which is termed TATA box and elements near the promoters which are located approximately 100 (CCAAT box) and 200 bp (GC box) above the start point of transcription (Smale and Kadonaga, 2003).

Processes that provide transcriptional modulation are extremely complex; the elements contained in the promoter sequences usually determine the correct starting point of transcription, acting as activators or repressors, indicating the place and the moment that this biological process should occur (Butler and Kadonaga, 2002).

Promoters are a key tool in biotechnological processes to ensure that expression of a gene of interest is effective and regulated. The availability of promoters that differ in their ability to regulate the spatial and temporal patterns of transgene expression tends to increase the success of the application of transgenic technology. Over the years, several promoters have been isolated from a wide variety of organisms and applied to genetically engineered plant systems (Potenza *et al.*, 2004).

The promoter will mostly regulate the transgene expression, since the transcription process is the first gene regulation. However, expression of the transgene is not uniform in all plants generated under the same conditions as it is subjected to the endogenous regulatory mechanism of the plant. This variability of expression can be reduced by choosing an appropriate promoter to regulate the transgene, improving the efficiency of the technique (Butaye *et al.*, 2005).

Current knowledge about the structure and functions of promoters in eukaryotic systems was recently reviewed by Porto *et al.* (2014). These researchers also describe the strategies used to isolate and analyze promoters and procedures available to estimate their expression.

Promoters can be classified as constitutive, inducible and organ or tissue-specific. A constitutive promoter directs the expression of a gene in all tissues of a plant during the various stages of development. A tissue-specific promoter directs expression of the gene only in certain tissues and may or may not be activated during all stages of development. An inducible promoter initiates gene expression in response to chemical, physical or biotic and abiotic stresses (Carneiro and Carneiro, 2011).

## CONSTITUTIVE PROMOTERS

The promoters usually used in the production of genetically modified plants include the 35S promoter of the Cauliflower Mosaic Virus (CaMV 35S) and the

promoter of the virus gene encoding Ubiquitin (Ubi-1) of maize (Hoshino, 2007). In particular, the CaMV 35S promoter is valuable because it provides high expression in all regions of the transformed plant and is generally available in the cassette vector used for transformation which facilitates the sub-cloning of the transgene of interest (Potenza *et al.* 2004).

Several other promoters that may be used for genetic transformation come from different organisms. In the case of constitutive promoters derived from the genome of viruses, promoters of several viruses may be used in addition to CAM 35 S: CAM 19 (Driesen *et al.*, 1993), the Mirabilis Mosaic Virus (MMV) (Dey and Maiti, 1999) and the Strawberry Vein Banding Virus (SVBV) (Pattanaik *et al.*, 2004). Among the constitutive promoters from bacteria the promoters of *nos* gene (Shaw *et al.*, 1984) and *ocs* (Ellis *et al.*, 1987) can be mentioned which respectively encode the nopaline synthase and octopine synthase both of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*.

In the case of plants, the most widely used constitutive promoters are the promoter of the gene encoding ubiquitin of maize (Ubi-1) (Christensen and Quail, 1996) and the actin gene from rice (Act1) (McElroy et al., 1990).

However, the use of constitutive promoters causes unnecessary gene expression increasing the possibility of interference with other routes of plant development (De Paoli et al., 2007). Some negative characteristics of the use of constitutive promoters have been observed, highlighting mainly phenotypic changes in transformed plants (Matsuhara et al., 2000). In a study performed on Solanum tuberosum differences were found when a constitutive promoter (CaMV 35S) or a stress inducible rd29A promoter expression were used to drive Atcbf genes. In this case, the same level of freezing tolerance was observed both in plants containing the constitutive promoter and in plants containing the inducible promoter when exposed to cold for a few hours. However, in the plants containing the Atcbf gene regulated by the constitutive promoter, the leaves showed reduced size, retarded flowering and reduction and/or lack of tuber production (Pino et al., 2007).

Therefore, plant promoters that are activated specifically when and where needed are ideal for genetic engineering applications (Potenza *et al.*, 2004).

## INDUCED PROMOTERS

Promoters that are induced under certain stress conditions, both biotic and abiotic are interesting biotechnological tools for use in plant breeding programs. In general, the stress-inducible promoters contain a cis-acting sequence which is recognized by specific transcription factors that induce the synthesis of proteins only under conditions of stress (Jaglo *et al.*, 2001). The

Table 1: Examples of promoters induced by abiotic stress

Corresponding gene	Inducer	Organism	References	
HSP18.2	Thermal shock	Arabidopsis thaliana	Takahashi et al. (1992)	
Rd29	Osmotic stress	Arabidopsis thaliana	Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki (1993)	
adh	Dehydration and cold stress	Arabidopsis thaliana Dolferus et al. (1994)		
rbcS-3A	Light	Pisum sativum	Kuhlemeier et al. (1989)	
Chn48	Ethylene	Nicotiana tabacum	Shinshi <i>et al.</i> (1995)	
PvSR2	Heavy metals	Phaseolus vulgaris	Qi <i>et al.</i> (2007)	
cgmt1	Heavy metals	Casuarina glauca Laplaze et al. (2002)		
HVADhn45	Drought stress	Hordeum vulgare	Hordeum vulgare Xiao and Xue (2001)	
PtDrl02	Methyl jasmonate	Populus sp.	Zheng <i>et al.</i> (2011)	

Table 2: Promoters induced by biotic stress

Promoters	Inducer	Organism	References
CaPrx	Nematode infection	Coffea arabica	Severino et al. (2012)
R2329 and R2184	Blast fungus infection	Oriza sativa	Sasaki <i>et al.</i> (2007)
OsNAC6	Fungus infection	Oriza sativa	Nakashima et al. (2007)
PPP	Pathogens	Arabidopsis sp.	Peng et al. (2004)

Germin-Like (GLP) proteins with various functions in the development and protection of plants are also related to inducible promoters. One of them is the ThGLP promoter isolated from *Tamarix hispida* which was highly induced by drought, salt, low temperature and treatment with abscisic acid, its expression occurring in leaves and roots (Li *et al.*, 2010). Table 1 lists some promoters induced by abiotic stress.

Biotic stress-induced promoters also deserve attention because they are just as important as the promoters induced by abiotic stress. Among the most studied are the promoters induced by pathogens that are quickly activated in response to stress and are effective in the plant defense process (McDowell and Woffenden, 2003).

A well-studied inducible stress promoter is Gst1 promoter from potato which activates gene transcription in response to infection by bacterial and fungal pathogens in transgenic apple (Malnoy et al., 2006). In transgenic citrus plants, the same promoter promoted gene expression in response to injury or to the pathogen Xanthomonas axonopodis ssp. (Barbosa-Mendes et al., 2009). Another promoter that has an important role in the plant defense system is the promoter belongs to class 10 PR (pathogenesis related). Coutos-Thevenot et al. (2001) related the combination of this pathogen-inducible promoter and a defense gene, the Vst1 gene which may increase tolerance against fungi in grape vine.

In order to improve pear resistance against fire blight caused by *Erwinia amylovora*, a search for promoters driving high-level expression of transgenes specifically in response to this bacterial pathogen has been undertaken. Malnoy *et al.* (2003) examined the ability of hsr203J, str246C and sgd24 promoters of tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) to drive expression of the *uidA* reporter gene in transgenic pear. It was demonstrated that

two of them (*str246C* and *sgd24*) were functional in pear, a woody species botanically distant from tobacco and activated by wounding and elicitors. They could therefore be used to drive the expression of transgenes to promote bacterial disease resistance (Table 2).

## SPECIFIC PROMOTERS

The use of organ or tissue specific promoters that induce and specifically control the expression of transgenes in organ and/or tissue may be advantageous to avoid a waste of energy and nutrients from the transgenic plant when the protein of interest is not necessary for the whole plant. Furthermore, the use of these promoters is convenient in both the commercial and scientific contexts and provides increased biosecurity, among other advantages the isolation and characterization of appropriate promoters for plant genetic engineering is therefore highly desirable (Daniell, 2002; Potenza *et al.*, 2004; Carneiro and Carneiro, 2011).

There are several promoters of viral, microbial and plant origin able to direct organ-specific expression in plants; however it is desirable that these promoters originate from the same plant species orphylogenetically related species because the regulatory systems are unique and cannot act in the expected manner in distant heterologous species (Tyagi, 2001).

## ROOT-SPECIFIC PROMOTERS

Root-specific promoters are of particular interest, since they promise a wide variety of applications. Recombinant proteins can be expressed for almost anything that is related to the root-soil interface using genetic engineering for bioremediation of soil contaminants, protection against drought, increased salt tolerance, capture of macro and micronutrients and

#### Fruit Solanum lycopersicum Lefsm1 (Barg et al., 2005) Leaves Zea mays Zmglp1 (Fan et al., 2005) Citrus unshiu CuLea5 (Kim et al., 2011) Pharbitis nil PnGLP (Ono et al., 1996) Carica papaya \(\beta\)-gal (He et al., 2013) Orvza sativa PDX1 (Ye et al., 2012) Seed Pollen Phaseolus vulgaris ß-phaseolin (Bustos et al., 1989) Solanum lycopersicum lat52 (Bate and Twell, 1998) Solanum lycopersicum SIFRK4 (David-Schwartz et al., 2013) Glycine max B-conglycinin (Chen et al., 1989) Gossypium hirsutum a-globulin (Sunilkumar et al., 200 Capsicum annuum CaMF2 (Chen et al., 2011) Zea mays AGPase (Chen et al., 2007) Solanum lycopersicum LeMAN5 (Filichkin et al., 2004) Zea mays zein (Marzabal et al., 1998) Oryza sativa OsGEX2 (Cook and Thilmony, 2012) Triticum aestivum glutenin (Lamacchia et al., 2001) Sorghum vulgare a-Kaf (Ahmad et al., 2012) Root Nicotiana tabacum TobRB7 (Yamamoto et al., 1991) Agrobacterium rhizogenes rolD (Leach and Aoyagi, 1991) Solanum lycopersicum SIREO (Jones et al., 2008) Coffea arabica CaPrx (Severino et al., 2012) Oryza sativa Os03g01700 e Os02g37190 (Li et al., 2013) Eucalyptus grandis EgTIP2 (Rodrigues et al., 2013) Populus ET304 (Filichkin et al., 2006)

Fig. 1: Examples of organ/tissue specific promoters in some plant species

increased resistance to root pathogens (Potenza *et al.*, 2004). In addition, expression of the transgene in the root can be specifically suitable for the use of marginal soils (Twyman, 2003).

The root is the first organ of the plant that feels ionic, osmotic and other stresses resulting from drought, soil salinity, accumulation of heavy metals, nutrient deficiency and the presence of microorganisms in the rhizosphere (Jones *et al.*, 2008). Furthermore, the root system captures water and nutrients, important for the development and crop yield; therefore, there is a growing concern about studies on root-specific and root inducible promoters since the overexpression of proteins located in roots is able to improve the growth or stress tolerance of plants (Ghanem *et al.*, 2011).

Some root-specific promoters have been identified and isolated (Fig. 1) such as the root-specific promoter of tobacco gene TobRB7 whose expression was found in the root meristem and immature central cylinder regions (Yamamoto et al., 1991). The promoter of the rolD gene from Agrobacterium rhizogenes showed high expression in roots and little expression in leaves of transformed Arabidopsis (Leach and Aoyagi, 1991) and it was used for the specific root expression of the glutamine synthetase gene (Fei et al., 2003). Other promoters of this type are: the promoter of the SIREO gene with high expression in tomato roots (Jones et al., 2008), the promoter of the CaPrx gene of Coffea arabica specifically expressed in roots and in response to biotic stress and infection by nematodes in early stages (Severino et al., 2012) and the Os03g01700 and Os02g37190 promoters of rice genes characterized by Li et al. (2013) that are highly active and possess the ability to induce high levels of expression in root tissues.

Few root-specific promoters were studied in forest species but the following stand out: the *PmPR10-1.14* gene promoter of *Pinus monticola* that directed the gene expression in root tissues of transgenic tobacco (Liu and Ekramoddoullah, 2003), the promoter of the gene *EgTIP2* (root-specific tonoplast intrinsic aquaporin) of *Eucalyptus grandis* that exhibits expression in vascular tissues of the whole plant and root tips of transgenic tobacco (Rodrigues *et al.*, 2013) and the promoter of the *ET304* gene of poplar conferring strong expression in roots of transgenic poplar and Arabidopsis (Filichkin *et al.*, 2006).

## SEED AND FRUIT SPECIFIC PROMOTERS

In grain producing plants, genes encoding reserve proteins are highly expressed during seed development and promoters of these genes have been used in genetic engineering experiments. The seed-specific expression of transgenes has also been used to increase the production of pharmaceutical or industrial compounds and enhance the functional and nutritional quality of grain (Ye et al., 2000).

The promoters used in most dicots are the promoters of the  $\beta$ -phaseolin gene from beans (Bustos *et al.*, 1989) and of the  $\beta$ -conglycinin gene of soybean (Chen *et al.*, 1989). The promoters of  $\alpha$  or  $\alpha$  'subunits of  $\beta$ -conglycinin protein reserves were also used for seed-specific gene silencingand can cause a small increase in amino acid content in soybean (Kim *et al.*, 2014).

Other seed-specific promoters were characterized, among them the  $\alpha$ -globulin promoter in cotton (Sunilkumar *et al.*, 2002), gamma-zein promoters in maize

(Marzabal *et al.*, 1998), the promoter of glutenin genes in wheat (Lamacchia *et al.*, 2001) and the promoter of the  $\alpha$ -Kaf gene in sorghum (Ahmad *et al.*, 2012).

Fruit-specific promoters were also cloned and tested in several plants (Fig. 1): in tomato, Lefsm1 gene promoter is specific to the early stages of fruit development (Barg et al., 2005) in the case of Citrus unshiu, CuLea5 promoter confers preferential expression in the fruit and its expression is enhanced by plant hormones such as abscisic acid and naphthalene acetic acid and abiotic stresses such as cold and drought (Kim et al., 2011). In addition, a fruit pulp specific promoter was identified by He et al. (2013), it is the promoter of the  $\beta$ -gal gene that is related to the softening of papaya pulp. This promoter was used in a silencing construction in order to reduce the softening of the fruit of this species, thereby lengthening its storage life.

Figueroa et al. (2009) observed that the promoter of the FaExp2 strawberry gene has a high level of expression in the fruit during ripening. Later on, in order to achieve the specific expression of transgenes in strawberry fruit, Schaart et al. (2011) isolated 5' upstream sequences of the FaExp2 gene. Two different lengths of promoter fragments (0.7 and 1.6 kb) were isolated and characterized and a standard specific expression in the fruit achenes was observed in transformed plants. Researchers are investigating the suitability of the 1.6pFaExp2 promoter to direct the expression of an antifungal gene with the aim of increasing resistance to fruit rot caused by Botrytis cinerea.

# POLLEN-SPECIFIC PROMOTERS

Some pollen-specific promoters have been identified and characterized (Fig. 1) including the promoter of tomato *lat52* gene encoding a cysteine-rich protein preferentially transcribed in vegetative cells during maturation of the pollen (Bate and Twell, 1998). Another isolated promoter is the promoter of tomato *SIFRK4* gene that is stamen-specific and responsible for the metabolism of fructokinase 4. This promoter is gradually activated in pollen grains during the final stages of anther development and upon pollen germination of transformed plants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (David-Schwartz *et al.*, 2013).

It is important to use specific promoters in pollen gene silencing in order to prevent the flow of genes from transgenic plants: this was the case of the promoter of the gene *CaMF2* where inhibition of the promoter by Virus Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS) resulted in low germination of pollen grains of *Capsicum annuum* (Chen *et al.*, 2011). Another example is the promoter of *LeMAN5* tomato gene with an endo-β-mannanase function that is expressed in anthers and pollen during

development and can be used to control pollen fertility and to increase the production of hybrid seeds (Filichkin *et al.*, 2004).

# SPECIFIC PROMOTERSOF LEAVES AND VASCULAR TISSUES

Leaf specific promoters are used most often to direct the expression of genes only in leaves for disease control and when the expression of certain genes is not desired in other organs, especially in fruits (Fig. 1). Some promoters direct the expression of genes in young leaves: the promoter of the gene Zmglp1 ("Germin-like protein") in maize caused abundant expression in new leaves and more abundant in mature leaves (Fan et al., 2005) and the promoter of the gene PnGLP did the same in new leaves and cotyledons of Pharbitis nil (Ono et al., 1996).

With regard to specific vascular tissue promoters, Lauvergeat et al. (2002) studied the promoter of Eucalyptus gunnii gene EgCAD2 whose use in the composition of expression cassettes allows direct transgene expression in vascular tissues of perennial (vine and poplar) and herbaceous (tobacco) plants. Another successful example is the promoter EgCCR of Eucalyptus gunnii which directed transgene expression in vascular tissue of the vine (Gago et al., 2011). Both EgCAD2 and EgCCR promoters may contribute to an important application of genetic engineering which would drive the expression of defense genes to vascular tissue in order to increase vascular resistance to pathogens. This new trait is extremely valuable for plants of economic interest throughout the world (Lauvergeat et al., 2002; Gago et al., 2011).

In citrus, Dutt *et al.* (2012) studied the use of phloem-specific rolC promoters from *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* as antibacterial constructs created to combat huanglongbing (HLB or citrus greening disease), associated with a phloem-limited Gram-negative bacteria where the Rice Tungro Bacilliform Virus promoter (RTBV) showed high levels of expression of the *gus* gene in citrus.

Another recently characterized promoter is the promoter of the *Athspr* gene of heat shock protein from *Arabidopsis thaliana* which showed expression in vascular tissues in all organs of the transformed plants indicating that this promoter has multiple roles in vascular development and can be used to obtain plants resistant to various other stresses (Zhang *et al.*, 2014).

## CONCLUSION

Due to the increase in research related to genetic transformation biosafety, concerns are arising and researchers have carried out studies of gene expression in specific tissues and organ and have made efforts to isolate promoters adding value to transgenes. The applications of tissue/organ promoters are numerous and the use of induced promoters to minimize the negative effects of unfavorable environmental conditions such as drought, saline soils, low and high temperatures can be emphasized.

Induced and organ/tissue specific promoters will activate the expression of genes only in specific situations which will reduce the energy expenditure of the plant as it will be activated only when really needed. In this way, productivity may be maintained, even under unfavorable environmental conditions.

On the other hand, the pollen-specific promoters can be used preferentially to control gene flow from transgenic plants, minimizing the risk of crossing between non transgenic and genetically modified plants and increase environmental security.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Researchers are grateful to Coordenacao de Aperfeicoamento de Pessoal de Nivel Superior (CAPES) for financial support to the first author and Eileen Bagyary for editing the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmad, N., R. Sant, M. Bokan, K.J. Steadman and I.D. Godwin, 2012. Expression pattern of the alpha-kafirin promoter coupled with a signal peptide from *Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench. J. Biomed. Biotechnol. 10.1155/2012/752391.
- Barbosa-Mendes, J.M., F.A.A. Mourao Filho and A. Bergamin Filho, 2009. Genetic transformation of *Citrus sinensis* cv. Hamlin with hrpN gene from *Erwinia amylovora* and evaluation of the transgenic lines for resistance to citrus canker. Scientia Hortic., 122: 109-115.
- Barg, R., I. Sobolev, T. Eilon, A. Gur and I. Chmelnitsky *et al.*, 2005. The tomato early fruit specific gene Lefsm1 defines a novel class of plant-specific SANT/MYB domain proteins. Planta, 221: 197-211.
- Bate, N. and D. Twell, 1998. Functional architecture of a late pollen promoter: Pollen-specific transcription is developmentally regulated by multiple stage-specific and co-dependent activator elements. Plant Mol. Biol., 37: 859-869.
- Bustos, M.M., M.J. Guiltinan, J. Jordano, D. Begum, F.A. Kalkan and T.C. Hall, 1989. Regulation of beta-glucuronidase expression in transgenic tobacco plants by an A/T-rich, cis-acting sequence found upstream of a French bean beta-phaseolin gene. Plant Cell., 1: 839-853.

- Butaye, K.M.J., B.P.A. Cammue, S.L. Delaure and M.F.C. de Bolle, 2005. Approaches to minimize variation of transgene expression in plants. Mol. Breed., 16: 79-91.
- Butler, J.E.F. and J.T. Kadonaga, 2002. The RNA polymerase II core promoter: A key component in the regulation of gene expression. Genes Dev., 16: 2583-2592.
- Carneiro, N.P. and A.A. Carneiro, 2011. Maize Transformation to Obtain Plants Tolerant to Viruses by RNAi Technology. In: Genetic Transformation, Alvarez, M.A. (Ed.)., Vol. 1. INTECH, Istanbul, Turkey, pp. 152-170.
- Chen, C., G. Chen, X. Hao, B. Cao, Q. Chen, S. Liu and J. Lei, 2011. CaMF2, an anther-specific Lipid Transfer Protein (LTP) gene, affects pollen development in Capsicum annuum L. Plant Sci., 181: 439-448.
- Chen, X., Z. Wang, J. Wang, M. Wang, L. Zhao and G. Wang, 2007. Isolation and characterization of Brittle2 promoter from *Zea mays* and its comparison with Ze19 promoter in transgenic tobacco plants. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult., 88: 11-20.
- Chen, Z.L., S. Naito, I. Nakamura and R.N. Beachy, 1989. Regulated expression of genes encoding soybean beta-conglycinins in transgenic plants. Dev. Genet., 10: 112-122.
- Christensen, A.H. and P.H. Quail, 1996. Ubiquitin promoter-based vectors for high-level expression of selectable and/or screenable marker genes in monocotyledonous plants. Transgenic Res., 5: 213-218.
- Cook, M. and R. Thilmony, 2012. The *OsGEX2* gene promoter confers sperm cell expression in transgenic rice. Plant Mol. Biol. Reporter, 30: 1138-1148.
- Coutos-Thevenot, P., B. Poinssot, A. Bonomelli, H. Yean and C. Breda, 2001. *In vitro* tolerance to *Botrytis cinerea* of grapevine 41B rootstock in transgenic plants expressing the stilbene synthase Vst1 gene under the control of a pathogen-inducible PR 10 promoter. J. Exp. Bot., 52: 901-910.
- Daniell, H., 2002. Molecular strategies for gene containment in transgenic crops. Nature Biotechnol., 20: 581-586.
- David-Schwartz, R., L. Weintraub, R. Vidavski, H. Zemach, L. Murakhovsky, D. Swartzberg and D. Granot, 2013. The SIFRK4 promoter is active only during late stages of pollen and anther development. Plant Sci., 199-200: 61-70.
- De Paoli, L.G., R.L.B. Camargo, R. Harakava, B.M.J. Mendes and F.A.A.M. Filho, 2007. [Genetic transformation of Valencia sweet orange with the cecropin MB39 gene]. Pesq. Agropec. Bras. Brasilia, 42: 1663-1666.

- Dey, N. and I.B. Maiti, 1999. Structure and promoter/leader deletion analysis of Mirabilis Mosaic Virus (MMV) full-length transcript promoter in transgenic plants. Plant Mol. Biol., 40: 771-782.
- Dolferus, R., M. Jacobs, W.J. Peacock and E.S. Dennis, 1994. Differential interactions of promoter elements in stress responses of the Arabidopsis Adh gene. Plant Physiol., 105: 1075-1087.
- Driesen, M., R.M. Benito-Moreno, T. Hohn and J. Futterer, 1993. Transcription from the CaMV 19 S promoter and autocatalysis of translation from CaMV RNA. Virology, 195: 203-210.
- Dutt, M., G. Ananthakrishnan, M.K. Jaromin, R.H. Brlansky and J.W. Grosser, 2012. Evaluation of four phloem-specific promoters in vegetative tissues of transgenic citrus plants. Tree Physiol., 32: 83-93.
- Ellis, J.G., D.J. Llewellyn, J.C. Walker, E.S. Dennis and W.J. Peacock, 1987. The ocs element: A 16 base pair palindrome essential for activity of the octopine synthase enhancer. EMBO J., 6: 3203-3208.
- Fan, Z., H. Gu, X. Chen, H. Song and Q. Wang et al., 2005. Cloning and expression analysis of Zmglp1, a new germin-like protein gene in maize. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 331: 1257-1263.
- Fei, H., S. Chaillou, B. Hirel, J.D. Mahon and J.K. Vessey, 2003. Overexpression of a soybean cytosolic glutamine synthetase gene linked to organ-specific promoters in pea plants grown in different concentrations of nitrate. Planta, 216: 467-474.
- Figueroa, C.R., P. Pimentel, M.C. Dotto, P.M. Civello, G.A. Martinez, R. Herrera and M.A. Moya-Leona, 2009. Expression of five expansin genes during softening of *Fragaria chiloensis* fruit: Effect of auxin treatment. Postharv. Biol. Technol., 53: 51-57.
- Filichkin, S.A., J.M. Leonard, A. Monteros, P.P. Liu and H. Nonogaki, 2004. A novel endo-beta-mannanase gene in tomato LeMAN5 is associated with anther and pollen development. Plant Physiol., 134: 1080-1087.
- Filichkin, S.A., Q. Wu, V. Busov, R. Meilan and C. Lanz-Garcia, 2006. Enhancer trapping in woody plants: Isolation of the ET304 gene encoding a putative AT-hook motif transcription factor and characterization of the expression patterns conferred by its promoter in transgenic Populus and Arabidopsis. Plant Sci., 171: 206-216.
- Gago, J., J. Grima-Pettenati and P.P. Gallego, 2011. Vascular-specific expression of GUS and GFP reporter genes in transgenic grapevine (Vitis vinifera L. cv. Albarino) conferred by the EgCCR promoter of Eucalyptus gunnii. Plant Physiol. Biochem., 49: 413-419.

- Ghanem, M.E., I. Hichri, A.C. Smigocki, A. Albacete and M.L. Fauconnier *et al.*, 2011. Root-targeted biotechnology to mediate hormonal signalling and improve crop stress tolerance. Plant Cell Rep., 30: 807-823.
- He, W.Y., X.J. Chen, L. Vasseur, Y.H. Shen, B.G. Lu and D. Liang, 2013. Identification of a β-galactosidase fruit pulp-specific promoter and its use in silencing constructs to reduce fruit softening in papaya. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 12: 2427-2436.
- Hoshino, A.A., 2007. Isolamento e caracterizacao de promotores tecido especificos a partir das informacoes do Sucest (Sugarcane expressed sequence tags). Ph.D. Thesis, Instituto de Biociencias da Universidade Estadual Paulista, Botucatu-SP.
- Jaglo, K.R., S. Kleff, K.L. Amundsen, X. Zhang and V. Haake et al., 2001. Components of the Arabidopsis C-repeat/dehydration-responsive element binding factor cold-response pathway are conserved in Brassica napus and other plant species. Plant Physiol., 127: 910-917.
- Jones, M.O., K. Manning, J. Andrews, C. Wright, I.B. Taylor and A.J. Thompson, 2008. The promoter from SIREO, a highly-expressed, root-specific *Solanum lycopersicum* gene, directs expression to cortex of mature roots. Functional Plant Biol., 35: 1224-1233.
- Kim, I.J., J. Lee, J.A. Han, C.S. Kim and Y. Hur, 2011. Citrus Lea promoter confers fruit-preferential and stressinducible gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. Can. J. Plant Sci., 91: 459-466.
- Kim, W.S., J.M. Jez and H.B. Krishnan, 2014. Effects of proteome rebalancing and sulfur nutrition on the accumulation of methionine rich δ-zein in transgenic soybeans. Front Plant Sci., Vol. 5. 10.3389/fpls.2014. 00633.
- Kuhlemeier, C., G. Strittmatter, K. Ward and N.H. Chua, 1989. The pea rbcS-3A promoter mediates light responsiveness but not organ specificity. Plant Cell, 1: 471-478.
- Lamacchia, C., P.R. Shewry, N. Di Fonzo, J.L. Forsyth and N. Harris, 2001. Endosperm-specific activity of a storage protein gene promoter in transgenic wheat seed. J. Exp. Bot. 52: 243-250.
- Laplaze, L., H. Gherbi, E. Duhoux, K. Pawlowski and F. Auguy et al., 2002. Symbiotic and non-symbiotic expression of cgMT1, a metallothionein-like gene from the actinorhizal tree Casuarina glauca. Plant Mol. Biol., 49: 81-92.

- Lauvergeat, V., P. Rech, A. Jauneau, C. Guez, P. Coutos-Thevenot and J. Grima-Pettenati, 2002. The vascular expression pattern directed by the *Eucalyptus gunnii* cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase *EgCAD2* promoter is conserved among woody and herbaceous plant species. Plant Mol. Biol., 50: 497-509.
- Leach, F. and K. Aoyagi, 1991. Promoter analysis of the highly expressed *rolC* and *rolD* root-inducing genes of *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*: Enhancer and tissue-specific DNA determinants are dissociated. Plant Sci., 79: 69-76.
- Li, H.Y., J. Jiang, S. Wang and F.F. Liu, 2010. Expression analysis of *ThGLP*, a new germin-like protein gene in *Tamarix hispida*. J. Forestry Res., 21: 323-330.
- Li, Y., S. Liu, Z. Yu, Y. Liu and P. Wu, 2013. Isolation and characterization of two novel root-specific promoters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Plant Sci., 207: 37-44.
- Liu, J.J. and A.K. Ekramoddoullah, 2003. Root-specific expression of a Western white pine PR10 gene is mediated by different promoter regions in transgenic tobacco. Plant Mol. Biol., 52: 103-120.
- Malnoy, M., J.P, Reynoird, E.E. Borejsza-Wysocka and H.S. Aldwinckle, 2006. Activation of the pathogen-inducible Gstl promoter of potato after elicitation by *Venturia inaequalis* and *Erwinia amylovora* in transgenic apple (*Malus* x *Domestica*). Transgenic Res., 15: 83-93.
- Malnoy, M., J.S. Venisse, J.P. Reynoird and E. Chevreau, 2003. Activation of three pathogen-inducible promoters of tobacco in transgenic pear (*Pyrus communis* L.) after abiotic and biotic elicitation. Planta, 216: 802-814.
- Marzabal, P., P.K. Busk, M.D. Ludevid and M. Torrent, 1998. The bifactorial endosperm box of gamma-zein gene: Characterisation and function of the Pb3 and GZM cis-acting elements. Plant J., 16: 41-52.
- Matsuhara, S., F. Jingu, T. Takahashi and Y. Komeda, 2000. Heat-shock tagging: A simple method for expression and isolation of plant genome DNA flanked by T-DNA insertions. Plant J., 22: 79-86.
- McDowell, J.M. and B.J. Woffenden, 2003. Plant disease resistance genes: Recent insights and potential applications. Trends Biotechnol., 21: 178-183.
- McElroy, D., E. Zhang, J. Cao and R. Wu, 1990. Isolation of an efficient actin promoter for use in rice transformation. Plant Cell, 2: 163-171.
- Mittler, R. and E. Blumwald, 2010. Genetic engineering for modern agriculture: Challenges and perspectives. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., 61: 443-462.

- Nakashima, K., L.S. Tran, D. van Nguyen, M. Fujita and K. Maruyama *et al.*, 2007. Functional analysis of a NAC-type transcription factor OsNAC6 involved in abiotic and biotic stress-responsive gene expression in rice. Plant J., 51: 617-630.
- Ono, M., K. Sage-Ono, M. Inoue, H. Kamada and H. Harada, 1996. Transient increase in the level of mRNA for a germin-like protein in leaves of the short-day plant Pharbitis nil during the photoperiodic induction of flowering. Plant Cell Physiol., 37: 855-861.
- Pattanaik, S., N. Dey, S. Bhattacharyya and I.B. Maiti, 2004. Isolation of full-length transcript promoter from the *Strawberry Vein Banding Virus* (SVBV) and expression analysis by protoplasts transient assays and in transgenic plants. Plant Sci., 167: 427-438.
- Peng, J., Z. Bao, P. Li, G. Chen and J.Wang *et al.*, 2004. Harpin<sub>X00</sub> and Its Functional Domains Activate Pathogen-Inducible Plant Promoters in Arabidopsis. Acta Bot. Sin., 46: 1083-1090.
- Pino, M.T., J.S. Skinner, E.J. Park, Z. Jeknic, P.M. Hayes, M.F. Thomashow and T.H. Chen, 2007. Use of a stress inducible promoter to drive ectopic AtCBF expression improves potato freezing tolerance while minimizing negative effects on tuber yield. Plant Biotechnol. J., 5: 591-604.
- Porto, M.S., M.P.N. Pinheiro, V.G.L. Batista, R.C. dos Santos, P.A.M. Filho and L.M. de Lima, 2014. Plant promoters: An approach of structure and function. Mol. Biotechnol., 56: 38-49.
- Potenza, C., L. Aleman and C. Sengupta-Gopalan, 2004. Targeting transgene expression in research, agricultural and environmental applications: Promoters used in plant transformation. *In vitro* Cell. Dev. Biol. Plant, 40: 1-22.
- Qi, X., Y. Zhang and T. Chai, 2007. Characterization of a novel plant promoter specifically induced by heavy metal and identification of the promoter regions conferring heavy metal responsiveness. Plant Physiol., 143: 50-59.
- Rodrigues, M.I., J.P. Bravo, F.T. Sassaki, F.E. Severino and I.G. Maia, 2013. The Tonoplast Intrinsic Aquaporin (TIP) subfamily of *Eucalyptus grandis*: Characterization of EgTIP2, a root-specific and osmotic stress-responsive gene. Plant Sci., 213: 106-113.
- Sartoretto, L.M., C.W. Saldanha and M.P.M. Corder, 2008. Transformação genetica: Estrategias e aplicações para o melhoramento genetico de especies florestais. Ciencia Rural, 38: 861-871.
- Sasaki, K., O. Yuichi, S. Hiraga, Y. Gotoh and S. Seo *et al.*, 2007. Characterization of two rice peroxidase promoters that respond to blast fungus-infection. Mol. Genet. Genomics, 278: 709-722.

- Schaart, J.G., E.M.J. Salentijn, K.T. B. Pelgrom, A. Aharoni and F.A. Krens, 2011. Isolation and characterisation of a strawberry fruit-specific promoter. Genes Genomes Genomics, 5: 108-114.
- Severino, F.E., M. Brandalise, C.S. Costa, S.R. Wilcken, M.P. Maluf, W. Goncalves and I.G. Maia, 2012. CaPrx, a *Coffea arabica* gene encoding a putative class III peroxidase induced by root-knot nematode infection. Plant Sci., 191-192: 35-42.
- Shaw, C.H., G.H. Carter, M.D. Watson and C.H. Shaw, 1984. A functional map of the nopaline synthase promoter. Nucleic Acids Res., 12: 7831-7846.
- Shinshi, H., S. Usami and M. Ohme-Takagi, 1995. Identification of an ethylene-responsive region in the promoter of a tobacco class I chitinase gene. Plant Mol. Biol., 27: 923-932.
- Singh, K.B., 1998. Transcriptional regulation in plants: The importance of combinatorial control. Plant Physiol., 118: 1111-1120.
- Smale, S.T. and J.T. Kadonaga, 2003. The RNA polymerase II core promoter. Annu. Rev. Biochem., 72: 449-479.
- Sunilkumar, G., J.P. Connell, C.W. Smith, A.S. Reddy and K.S. Rathore, 2002. Cotton α-globulin promoter: Isolation and functional characterization in transgenic cotton, Arabidopsis and tobacco. Transgenic Res., 11: 347-359.
- Takahashi, T., S. Naito and Y. Komeda, 1992. The Arabidopsis HSP18.2 promoter/GUS gene fusion in transgenic Arabidopsis plants: A powerful tool for the isolation of regulatory mutants of the heat-shock response. Plant J., 2: 751-761.
- Twyman, R.M., 2003. Growth and Development: Control of Gene Expression, Regulation of Transcription. In: Encyclopedia of Applied Plant Sciences, Thomas, B., D.J. Murphy and G.B. Murray (Eds.). Elsevier Science, London, UK., pp. 558-567.

- Twyman, R.M., E. Stoger, S. Schillberg, P. Christou and R. Fischer, 2003. Molecular farming in plants: Host systems and expression technology. Trends Biotechnol., 21: 570-578.
- Tyagi, A.K., 2001. Plant genes and their expression. Curr. Sci., 80: 161-169.
- Xiao, F.H. and G.P. Xue, 2001. Analysis of the promoter activity of late embryogenesis abundant protein genes in barley seedlings under conditions of water deficit. Plant Cell Rep., 20: 667-673.
- Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. and K. Shinozaki, 1993. Characterization of the expression of a desiccation-responsive *rd29* gene of Arabidopsis thaliana and analysis of its promoter in transgenic plants. Mol. Gen. Genet., 236: 331-340.
- Yamamoto, Y.T., C.G. Taylor, G.N. Acedo, C.L. Cheng and M.A. Conkling, 1991. Characterization of cis-acting sequences regulating root-specific gene expression in tobacco. Plant Cell, 3: 371-382.
- Ye, R., F. Zhou and Y. Lin, 2012. Two novel positive cis-regulatory elements involved in green tissue-specific promoter activity in rice (*Oryza sativa* L. ssp.). Plant Cell Rep., 31: 1159-1172.
- Ye, X., S. Al-Babili, A. Kloti, J. Zhang, P. Lucca, P. Beyer and I. Potrykus, 2000. Engineering the provitamin A (β-Carotene) biosynthetic pathway into (Carotenoid-free) rice endosperm. Science, 287: 303-305.
- Zhang, L., T. Yang, X. Li, H. Hao and S. Xu et al., 2014.
  Cloning and characterization of a novel Athspr promoter specifically active in vascular tissue. Plant Physiol. Biochem., 78: 88-96.
- Zheng, H., Y. Lei, S. Lin, Q. Zhang and Z. Zhang, 2011. Bidirectionalization of a methyl jasmonate-inducible plant promoter. Biotechnol. Lett., 33: 387-393.