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A serological survey for antibodies against Leptospira interrogans, Brucella abortus, and Chlamydophila abortus was conducted in 21 clinically healthy, free-ranging giant anteaters (Myrmecophaga tridactyla) from Parque Nacional das Emas (Goiás State, Brazil; n=6), Parque Nacional da Serra da Canastra (Minas Gerais State, Brazil; n=9), and RPPN SESC Pantanal (Mato Grosso State, Brazil; n=6) between July 2001 and September 2006. Sera were screened for antibodies against 22 serovars of Leptospira interrogans with a microscopic agglutination test. Twelve tested positive for L. interrogans serovars sentot (n=5 in PN Emas, n=2 in PN Serra da Canastra), butembo (n=2 in PN Serra da Canastra), autumnalis, bataviae, and shermani/icterohaemorrhagiae (n=1 each in SESC Pantanal). One adult female tested positive for B. abortus with the buffered plate antigen test. All sera were negative for C. abortus using the complement fixation test. This is the first report of pathogens that may interfere with the reproduction and population dynamics of free-ranging giant anteaters.

INTRODUCTION

Diseases have been recognized as a growing problem in biodiversity conservation (McCallum & Dobson 1995). Due to the paucity of information on pathogens affecting wild giant anteaters (*Myrmecophaga tridactyla*), it is currently difficult to assess the role of diseases in the population declines that have led to the classification of *M. tridactyla* as Vulnerable by the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (Miranda & Medri 2010). Giant anteaters have small litter sizes, long gestations, and extended periods of parental care (Schauerg 2005). Pathogens that may interfere with their ability to produce viable offspring are therefore expected to have significant impacts on their population growth rate and bear the potential to drive this charismatic species to extinction (Boots & Sasaki 2001).

Leptospirosis, brucellosis, and chlamydiosis are important zoonoses with worldwide distribution that can lead to reduced fertility and abortion (Acha & Szyfres 2003). In Brazil, the causative agents of these diseases have been reported in domestic (Tomich et al. 2007) and wild animals (Ito et al. 1998) sharing their habitat with giant anteaters. Contact between giant anteaters and infected animals may lead to disease transmission, potentially affecting reproductive rates and leading to population declines in *M. tridactyla*.

The objective of this study was to investigate the presence of antibodies against *Leptospira interrogans*, *Brucella abortus*, and *Chlamydophila abortus* in wild giant anteaters inhabiting three protected areas of central Brazil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area. Study animals were wild-caught in three different localities of Brazil: Parque Nacional das Emas (PNE; 18°16’S, 52°53’W), located in the Brazilian Central Plateau of western Brazil, which protects 131,800 ha of the Cerrado biome in southwestern Goiás State (Scardua et al. 2004); Parque Nacional da Serra da Canastra (PNSC; 20°00’-20°30’S, 46°15’-47°00’W), which covers approximately 200,000 ha of the Cerrado biome in southwestern Minas Gerais State (IBAMA 2005); and Reserva Particular do Patrimônio Natural SESC Pantanal (SESC; 16°-17°S, 56°-57°W), a privately owned conservation unit of approximately 88,000 ha located within the Pantanal Biosphere Reserve, in Mato Grosso State (Brandão et al. 2011).

Sample collection. Line transects were performed in July 2001 and January to April 2003 (PNE); August 2003 (PNSC); and from July to September 2005 and June to September 2006 (SESC). Anteaters were darted with a blowpipe using 10 mg/kg ketamine chloride (Ketalar; Laboratorios Pfizer; São Paulo, Brazil) with 1 mg/kg midazolam (Dormonid, Roche, São Paulo, Brazil) or 9.56 mg/kg ketamine chloride with 1.6 mg/kg xylazine (Virbac, Virbac, São Paulo, Brazil). Sex and geographic location were recorded, and age was determined based on body mass and size. Morphometric measurements were taken and a clinical examination performed. Blood was collected into sterile test tubes by puncturing the external jugular vein or, less frequently, the cephalic or inner femoral vein. Serum was separated with a portable centrifuge, then aliquoted in Eppendorf tubes and stored in liquid nitrogen.

Permits to capture and sample wild giant anteaters were granted by the Brazilian Institute of Environment and Renewable Natural Resources (IBAMA).

Sample analysis. All analyses were performed at the Departamento de Medicina Preventiva, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Brazil. A microscopic agglutination test (MAT) with live pathogen was used to detect antibodies against *Leptospira interrogans* (Cole et al. 1973). The following reference serovars were used: *australis*, *bratislava*, *autumnalis*, *butembo*, *bataviae*, *canicola*, *castellonis*, *copenhageni*, * cynopteri*, *fortbragg*, *grippotyphosa*, *hardjo*, *hebdomadis*, *icterohaemorrhagiae*, *panama*, *pomona*, *pyrogenes*, *sentot*, *shermani*, *tarassovi*, *whitcomb*, and *wolffi*. Sera were considered positive if agglutination was present at dilutions ≥1:10. Positive serum samples were serially diluted to determine the end point (Myers 1985). If a sample showed cross-reactivity between two or more serovars of the same group, the one showing higher titers was considered positive.

The Buffered Plate Antigen test (BPA, Angus & Barton 1984) was used to screen sera for antibodies against *B. abortus*. A 0.03 ml suspension of deactivated *B. abortus* cells was homogenized with the same volume of serum. Samples showing macroscopic agglutination after four minutes were considered reactive.

Sera were tested for antibodies against *C. abortus* using the complement fixation test (OIE 2008). Reference strain *C. abortus* S26/3 (provided by Dr Carlo Turilli; Instituto Zoonopillettico Sperimentale delle Venezie, Padova, Italy) was used as antigen. Titers ≥1:32 were considered positive (OIE 2008).

RESULTS

A total of 21 giant anteaters were captured: six at PNE (2 males, 4 females), nine at PNSC (4 males, 5 females), and six at SESC (3 males, 3 females). All animals were considered clinically healthy. Twelve sera (57.14%) were positive for *B. abortus*, and for *C. abortus* (Fig.1). Determination of the more probable serovar was not possible in one serum sample from SESC because co-agglutination occurred and both serovars (*shermani* and *icterohaemorrhagiae*) were positive at the same maximum dilution. Sera were considered positive if agglutination was present at dilutions ≥1:10 for *L. interrogans* serovar *sentot* (Fig.1). The large majority of anteaters with antibodies against *L. interrogans* had low agglutinating titers, but two animals from PNE had titers >1:100 for *L. interrogans* serovar *sentot*.

Table 1. Number of seropositive giant anteaters (*Myrmecophaga tridactyla*) per number of individuals tested for three pathogens at three protected areas of Brazil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study site</th>
<th>Leptospira interrogans</th>
<th>Brucella abortus</th>
<th>Chlamydophila abortus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PNE</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>0/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNSC</td>
<td>4/9</td>
<td>1/9</td>
<td>0/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SESC</td>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>0/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12/21</td>
<td>1/21</td>
<td>0/21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PNE = Parque Nacional das Emas; PNSC = Parque Nacional da Serra da Canastra; SESC = Reserva Particular do Patrimônio Natural SESC Pantanal.*
Fig.1. Percentages of antibody against serovars of *Leptospira interrogans* in free-ranging giant anteaters (*Myrmecophaga tridactyla*) from three protected areas of Brazil

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first report of pathogens that may interfere with the reproduction and population dynamics of free-ranging giant anteaters.

Twelve of 21 tested giant anteaters were seroreactive to *Leptospira interrogans*, over 50% of which were positive for serovar *sentot*. Epidemiological data on the occurrence of this serovar in Brazil are scarce, as it is not always included as a reference strain (e.g., Tomich et al. 2007; Freitas et al. 2010). It is possible that the wide variety of serovars observed in anteaters from SESC (Fig.1), as well as in domestic animals and other wildlife from this area (Freitas et al. 2010; Jorge et al. 2011), is related to the area having favorable conditions for the survival of *Leptospira* in the environment, such as seasonal flooding and high temperature and humidity levels (Acha & Szyfres 2003). Emmons et al. (2004) repeatedly observed giant anteaters wallowing in mud and bathing in shallow ponds that are used by other species as watering place. This behavior may further increase the risk of anteaters becoming infected with *L. interrogans*.

No clinical signs of leptospirosis were observed in any of the examined anteaters. This was not unexpected, as wild animals rarely show symptoms or lesions attributable to *Leptospira* (Acha & Szyfres 2003). A captive giant anteater at Rio de Janeiro Zoo, however, showed clinical signs due to *L. interrogans* serovar *icterohaemorrhagiae* infection (Monteiro et al. 2003).

A single giant anteater from PNSC tested positive for *Brucella abortus*. The adult female was clinically healthy, but it is not known whether its fertility was affected by the pathogen. PNSC lies within one of Brazil’s most important areas of cattle ranching, where roughly 6% of herds are infected with bovine brucellosis (Gonçalves et al. 2009). Extensive cattle ranching occurs both in the vicinity of PNSC and on private properties within the national park (IBAMA 2005). This situation bears the risk of close contact between domestic and wild animals, and cattle may have been the source of infection for this anteater. It is, however, also possible that the seropositive female acquired the pathogen from a wild reservoir host. Gonçalves et al. (2009) noted that the presence of wild cervids significantly increased the risk of cattle herds being infected with *B. abortus*. Nevertheless, the role of cervids as reservoirs requires confirmation because data on the prevalence of *Brucella* infection in cervids from the PNSC area are lacking.

None of the individuals from SESC and PNE was seropositive for *B. abortus*. It should, however, be noted that only a few anteaters were tested in these areas. Prevalence of bovine brucellosis is very high in the vicinity of PNE (21% seropositive cattle herds, Rocha et al. 2009) and of SESC (37% seropositive herds, Negreiros et al. 2009), and cattle ranching occurs in private farms near both protected areas. Antibodies against *B. abortus* have also been found in pecaries (*Tayassu pecari*) in the vicinity of PNE (Kashivakura et al. 2004) and in pampas deer (*Ozotoceros bezoarticus*) from the southern Pantanal (Elisei et al. 2010). Wild giant anteaters may therefore also be exposed to this pathogen in PNE and SESC. It remains to be studied whether infection with *B. abortus* leads to clinical symptoms in giant anteaters. Considering that only one out of 21 assessed anteaters was seropositive in spite of the elevated risk of exposure related to high prevalences in cattle and other wildlife, it is also possible that, similar to moose (*Alces alces*, Forbes et al. 1996), *Myrmecophaga tridactyla* is highly susceptible to the disease and dies soon after infection.

None of the assessed giant anteaters was positive for *Chlamyphila abortus*. This pathogen of worldwide distribution is one of the most frequent causes of abortion in sheep and goats (Longbottom & Coulter 2003). Data on its occurrence in Brazilian wildlife are virtually non-existent, with the notable exception of a giant anteater kept in a zoo in São Paulo State that recently tested positive for *Chlamyphila* spp. (Miranda, unpublished data). According to its case history, the nulliparous female suffered spontaneous abortion in the final third of its first pregnancy, a symptom typical for infection with *C. abortus* in small ruminants (Longbottom & Coulter 2003). This case implies that exposure to this pathogen can interfere with reproduction in giant anteaters.

To our knowledge, no epidemiological studies on *C. abortus* have been performed in domestic or wild animals within or near our study sites, which complicates the assessment of the exposure risk of the giant anteaters studied here. Only few laboratories in Brazil are qualified to identify *C. abortus*, which may explain the limited data on its prevalence in this country and, especially, at our study sites (Silva et al. 2006). Additional screenings of giant anteaters inhabiting areas where presence of *C. abortus* has been confirmed in domestic or other wild animals will be needed to assess the importance of this pathogen in their reproduction and population dynamics.
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